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Foreword

Rosemary Addis AM
Founding Managing Partner, Mondiale Impact;
Executive Director, Impact Strategist

Creative disruption: Putting value at the heart of a new paradigm

The pandemic could herald a paradigm shift in our conception of value, freeing us to imagine new models that can scale and sustain cultural and creative activity.

The past few years have changed us: through grief and isolation and fear and uncertainty – and through finding hope and possibility and resilience and clarity about what we love. Creative industries have provided humour and insight, captured the unimaginable and been a source of both distraction and focus, reminding us of who we are and how we are connected.

And, for all their collective talent, creativity, entrepreneurial spirit and resourcefulness, creative industries have been profoundly affected by the pandemic. Industries that play a vital role in giving expression to our experience, in which we find purpose and meaning, have reached a point of true crisis in the context of a point of true crisis. Many are simply unable to continue to work within prevailing models. However, this very crisis could place creative industries at the vanguard of a paradigm shift that revolutionises our conception of value, out of which could emerge more sustainable models for the future.

This idea is powerfully expressed by Anuradhi Roy: the pandemic as a portal.

‘Historically, pandemics have forced humans to break with the past and imagine their world anew. This one is no different. It is a portal, a gateway between one world and the next. We can choose to walk through it, dragging the carcasses of our prejudice and hatred, our avarice, our data banks and dead ideas, our dead rivers and smoky skies behind us. Or we can walk through lightly, with little luggage, ready to imagine another world. And ready to fight for it.’

What kind of world can we imagine; what future for ourselves, our children and those who come after them? What will we fight for? Society is in search of the new paradigm to deliver on a more sustainable future. How could creative industries participate in reshaping how we see, understand and engage with this change, for their future and ours? How will they?

True paradigm shifts, as illuminated by philosopher Thomas Kuhn, occur when there is sufficient new information and insight to challenge and disrupt prevailing assumptions that no longer hold true and enable models already under stress to give way to new models. The shifts gain momentum when the prevailing models no longer fit the realities. It is precisely when the problems are large and complex and urgent that we can look beyond even the problems themselves to the new models (the paradigm) needed to solve them.

Even before the pandemic, new information was challenging our assumptions about value. Specifically, the notion that we can conceive of value, even economic value, in purely financial terms has been facing existential questions. Research by economists from Michael Porter to Joseph Stiglitz, to Thomas Piketty and Kate Raworth has underscored the major insight in modern economics that we have misaligned social, cultural, environmental and economic factors.

Marianna Mazzucato has advocated for value as ‘a goal which can be used to shape markets’. Jed Emerson has provided insights over decades on blended value, reflecting how purely financial and economic dimensions fall short of recognising what creates value and interrogating the purpose of capital. Emerson wrote recently that the transformative potential is to ‘make a direct connection between the investment of our capital and the creation of a material change in our communities and ecosystems’.

This more dynamic and integrated conception of value has informed developments such as purpose-driven business and stakeholder capitalism, and other approaches recognising the value of social, cultural and environmental impacts as well as financial performance. The development of impact investing as a field – and its broader influence (non-financial) value is often left on the table. The creative industries at the vanguard of a paradigm shift that revolutionises our conception of value, out of which could emerge more sustainable models for the future. The development of impact investing as a field – and its broader influence in wider markets – is driving integration of positive social, cultural and environmental benefits with financial measures at the centre of performance.

The effects of these macro shifts are profound. Applied to the creative industries they hold levers to break through the paradigm that ‘the problem of value in arts and culture is usually perceived to lie with arts and culture, not with the concept of value’. The impact of this could be Capemican in its repercussions for how we think about value and its relationship to the economy.

The creative industries can be emblematic of a broader, more dynamic and multi-faceted conception of value. They stand as beacons of the ways in which we have misaligned social, cultural, environmental and economic factors.

...
Creativity, Culture and Capital: Impact investing in the global creative economy

There is much to learn from what has already been tried and tested, but it is not yet a complete answer. Funding and financing models for public goods and areas of creative endeavour have been under stress for a long time. This is the result of a confluence of market dynamics, policy settings and changing context. The pandemic did not originate the resource stress; it removed any doubt that the old models are in crisis.

Paradigms gain their status because they are more successful than the alternatives. In a few cases, there are problems that the group of practitioners have come to recognise as acute. In their 2021 research article ‘From public good to public value: Arts and culture in a time of crisis’, Julian Meyrick and Tulla Barnett observed:

Inevitably, the culture of a pre-eminent symbolic domain, will be a vehicle for the constitution of value that make use of culture as different understandings struggle for paradigmatic ascendency.

Shaping new models that can scale, replicate and sustain cultural and creative activity will require strategic leadership and commitment, innovative thinking and experimentation, building practice, and imagination, and re-imaging, to create viable models. This will require us to look beyond contexts between public and private and take a more holistic approach. New bargains will need to be struck that place different sectors and actors as key collaborators in expanding and enhancing value and increasing economic activity. The available assets and resources are abundant and enable the development of what has been billed as the greatest golden age collection of instruments in the world. Attracting talent and providing a competitive edge for musicians and a unique experience for audiences.

Creative industries can enliven understanding of what is needed and how to move forward and connect people to an inspiring vision grounded in a more dynamic conception of value.

As impact investing developed, arts organisations and impact investors came together in experiments in how finance can be better utilised in the creative industries. The pandemic did not change the fundamental understanding but demonstrated where commercial enterprises were early adopters and how to move forward. New bargains will need to be struck that place different sectors and actors as key collaborators in expanding and enhancing value and increasing economic activity. The available assets and resources are abundant and enable the development of what has been billed as the greatest golden age collection of instruments in the world. Attracting talent and providing a competitive edge for musicians and a unique experience for audiences.
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Project reflections

Laura Callanan, Founding Partner, Upstart Co-Lab
Bárbara Russi, Director
Carolina Biquard, Executive Director Fundación Compromiso
Fran Sanderson, Director, Arts & Culture Programmes and Investments, Nesta

The transatlantic founding partners who brought together the Creativity, Culture & Capital collection of essays reflect on motivations, learnings and future ambitions.
Upstart Co-Lab: Impact capital for an inclusive creative economy

Upstart Co-Lab connects capital with creative people who make a profit and make a difference. A field-builder, thought leader and catalyst since launching in 2016, Upstart has defined a ‘creativity lens’ to frame the creative economy as an impact investing priority; demonstrated through original research the role of creative places and businesses in comprehensive community development and the opportunity the creative economy offers BIPOC and women entrepreneurs; developed a pipeline of 300 impact investment opportunities in the creative economy; and directly mobilised nearly US$23 million of impact investing capital for the creative economy of the United States.

Upstart Co-Lab identified 145 industries that states and regions across the US use to define their local creative economy, and grouped these industries into categories to describe the inclusive creative economy for the benefit of impact investors: ethical fashion; sustainable food; social impact media (with a focus on film & TV and video games); and other creative businesses, such as those in the visual art market, immersive live experiences, and the quickly growing creator economy – the ecosystem of direct-to-audience platforms and digital tools helping creatives to develop, monetise, scale, and maintain control over their work.

Over the past three years, Upstart has advised donor-advised funds, private foundations and endowed cultural institutions with US$4 billion in aggregate investment capacity. Upstart has helped newcomers explore how investment can align with their values and commitment to art, design, culture, heritage and creativity. Upstart has helped those who are already impact investors deploy nearly US$12.5 million into funds and companies in the creative economy driving deep people-focused impact and delivering financial return. To learn more, read Upstart’s 2021 Impact Report: Investing for an Inclusive Creative Economy and Cultural Capital. The state of museums and their investing.

Creativity and culture are globally recognised as empowering people – especially disadvantaged groups, women and youth – to take ownership of their own development as the basis for innovation that can drive inclusive, equitable and sustainable growth. While there are already examples in Europe, Latin America and Africa of impact investments funds fully dedicated to the creative economy – such as Nesta’s Arts & Culture Finance Fund in the UK, the HEVA Fund in Kenya, France’s Ministère Patrimoine Vivant and Brazil’s In – the US currently lacks such a vehicle.

Now, Upstart Co-Lab is launching the Inclusive Creative Economy Strategy, the first national creative economy impact investment strategy in the US. The Inclusive Creative Economy Strategy will invest in a portfolio of emerging impact funds with creative industry exposure and seed/early-stage companies in the creative industries. Upstart’s approach targets market-rate returns.

The Strategy seeks to achieve deep, people-focused impact across five dimensions:

- **Access to capital for BIPOC and women entrepreneurs:** Funds and companies that are led by diverse managers and founders, respectively, as well as investments in funds that are backing diverse founders.
- **Quality jobs:** Jobs that provide a living wage, basic benefits, career-building opportunities, wealth-building opportunities, and a fair and engaging workplace.
- **Vibrant communities:** Activities that strengthen economic development, encourage civic engagement, build resiliency and contribute to quality of life.
- **Sustainable creative lives:** Ownership models, earnings opportunities, and pathways to wealth building that support a ‘creative middle class.’
- **An inclusive creative economy:** Economic activity anchored in Openness and Experimentation, Diversity and Inclusion, and Tradition and Innovation that benefits artists, designers and all members of the community. (Learn more: ‘Values And Metrics for the Inclusive Creative Economy.’)

In tandem with managing this investment strategy, Upstart will conduct a complementary influence strategy, intended to both unlock the creative sector as a new source of impact capital and to move impact capital to the creative economy at scale. In an effort to engage more investors, advisers and fund managers in impact investing in the creative economy, Upstart will be radically generous in sharing the learnings so that others can replicate our approach. The Inclusive Creative Economy Strategy is intended to demonstrate to the impact investing field the potential of the creative economy to deliver both market-rate financial returns and deep people-focused impact.

Upstart Co-Lab’s goal is to make the creative economy a priority within impact investing. When this happens, artists, designers and other creatives will gain access to values-aligned capital to scale their businesses and their impact. Cultural institutions, art lovers and artists will become the next cohort of impact investors. The creative economy will be more inclusive, equitable and sustainable because it is shaped by impact investment capital that shares these values.

Upstart is grateful to our partners at Nesta’s Arts & Culture Finance and Fundación Compromiso, and to all of the contributors to this collection for our shared work towards these ambitious long-term goals. We move forward energised by this collaboration and by this global community. Thank you.

Laura Callanan is the founding partner of Upstart Co-Lab, which is connecting impact investing to the creative economy. Before launching Upstart Co-Lab, Laura was senior deputy chair of the National Endowment for the Arts; consultant with McKinsey & Company’s Social Sector Office; and associate director of the Rockefeller Foundation, where she managed the endowment and co-led impact investing, closing two investments in the creative economy. Laura has been visiting fellow at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, scholar in residence at UC-Berkeley/ Haas School of Business and a Rockefeller Foundation Bellagio fellow. She chairs the GlobalGiving Foundation, advises Shift Capital, and is a member of the British Council Creative Industries International Council.
Creativity, Culture and Capital: Impact investing in the global creative economy

Fundación Compromiso: Social innovation to boost the creative economy

Fundación Compromiso is a non-profit organisation with a solid profile in social innovation that walked its way into the creative economy hand in hand with Creativity, Culture & Capital: Impact investing in the global creative economy (CCC). We had the immense privilege to partner with organisations of the stature of Upstart Co-Lab and Nesto, representing the Latin America share. Our deep gratitude to Laura Callanan, Francesca Sanderson, Sarah Lang, Racheal Bull, Sarah East, Louisa Shanks, Jenny Jacoby, Paula Silva, Josefina Derrider and Jeannine Emery, with whom we shaped, designed, reflected and dreamt about the CCC. What a massive learning and what big thanks to our international colleagues for co-creating a global conversation about the potential and the opportunities of the creative sector.

Our profound gratitude as well to all the essay authors for their unique perspectives, for believing in the project from scratch – and most of all for the significant contribution each one of you is making to the development and expansion of the global creative economy. A special note also about the unique experience of conducting a global research study during the pandemic. We knew in those first quarantine months that the report was going to inspire and deliver concrete examples of how creativity and culture could help build back better from Covid-19.

For Fundación Compromiso, the 2022 edition of the UNESCO World Conference on Cultural Policies and Sustainable Development – MONDIACULT 2022 aims to affirm culture as a ‘global public good’, underlining its value as a cornerstone of the creative economy. For Fundación Compromiso, this is a spark that places Argentina and the region in a very good news for the sector: culture and development are being read together, and therefore efforts will be put into measurement. Launching this collection of essays showcasing global initiatives in the creative economy at the beginning of 2021, the UN International Year of Creative Economy for Sustainable Development, helped to bring the impact investing perspective into the creative sustainable development agenda.

The Latin American chapter was an adventure, because we had to scout as by case. Fundación Compromiso was defining its own impact investing strategy along with the learnings of connecting impact capital to the creative economy. The Creativity at Work section of the collection, which explores the impact the creative economy has on every aspect of our lives, felt most suited to us from the beginning, with the social focus giving us the scope to draw together a first regional creative impact investing constellation.

In Latin America, the extra challenge was that the region is made up of 33 very different countries, with different currencies, very different scales and some with very recent democracies. It is in this context, and in a region where the largest cause of excess mortality is violence, that we started to ask ourselves who was funding those artists and cultural entrepreneurs who were delivering innovation and the creative solutions that uplift lives and enhance our environment.

Creativity, Culture and Capital gave us the opportunity to explore the creative ecosystem and highlight those working for good. It allowed us to amplify the stories of some of the most amazing and interesting players in our creative ecosystem and meet and greet them in one global publication.

But the big jump came with the translation of the entire collection into Spanish – a gesture that was a great support to the Latin American impact investing and creative community. It is quite something to be involved in a project where you get to feel the pulse of a growing movement. Of course, some countries in the region are leading the creative transformation, but collecting these stories enabled us to understand where the energy of the creative economy is coming from, and the concerns and challenges we need to address. Although tickets are still small in Latin America, we can extrapolate and visualise the positive trend.

For Fundación Compromiso, Creativity, Culture and Capital is a spark that places Argentina and the region in a pipeline position. We strongly believe the CCC community has started a global movement that will connect its members and build better. Fundación Compromiso maintains its commitment to scaling creative startups and companies driven by graduates of the Patrón Digital Learning Centre. May Creativity, Culture and Capital continue to evolve into a living resource and community platform that connects investors, innovative artists and cultural entrepreneurs worldwide.

Carolina Biquard is a lawyer who has dedicated her professional life to strengthening civil society in Argentina. She holds a master’s degree in Nonprofit Management from the New School for Social Research. She founded Fundación Compromiso in 1994 and was the CEO until 2005. Fundación Compromiso develops and spreads a new model of supporting and investing effectively in social, environmental and cultural issues throughout Latin American civil society organisations, private enterprises and public institutions. From 2007-2009, Carolina was National Director of Cultural Industries. She was the President of the Fondo Nacional de las Artes, a public institution dedicated to financing artistic creation and Argentine culture from 2010 to 2018. Carolina is now back at Fundación Compromiso, converting the foundation into an Impact Fund.

Bárbara Russi is an anthropologist with a specialisation in cultural management working as adviser for the National Commission of Monuments and Historical Heritage and as a consultant on institutional building and financing strategy for public and private organisations in the cultural field. She served as Chief of Cabinet in the National Fund for the Arts; coordinated the Institutional Development Office of the National Ministry of Culture; worked on the creation of the Costume Center of the San Martin Theater; and coordinated the creation of the Training Trade Center for the Theatrical Complex of Buenos Aires and for the Lyrical Teatro Argentino of La Plata. She worked in the production of Urban Art Festivals in Buenos Aires, and in the nonprofit world as Director of Institutional Development for Juventus Lyrica and Fundación ArteViva. Bárbara is a Board Member of Fundación Compromiso.
Nesta: Impact investment and the creative economy - taking each other to the next stage

It was a different time when we conceived of this project in 2019. The climate was already a pressing issue, but most of us weren’t considering the risk of a global pandemic, or parsing the implications for our cultural lives and the livelihoods of the people and organisations who support them. Creativity, Culture & Capital was born out of a shared conviction that arts, culture and creative enterprise are a transformative force for good in the world, and that coordinated collective action by investors, philanthropists and policymakers can turbo-boost this positive impact – in scale, in sustainability and in effectivenes. As creative economies the world over take stock of the post-pandemic landscape, this project has only become more urgent. It is time to examine the financial tools available to us. While the creative economy isn’t explicitly recognised in the UN SDGs, as investigated in the British Council’s seminal report, The Missing Pillar, we believe our bespoke sector skills and experience not only enable us to build better relationships and promote the potential of impact investment to drive commercial outcomes, for individuals, communities, society and the planet. We know there are more stories out there, and the beginnings of a movement are building. With passion, patience and persistence, we can create a perpetual pilot light under this incredible source of positive social outcomes, for individuals, communities, society and the planet.

As impact investors develop their sophistication and deeper understanding of the social challenges they demand their capital addresses, we believe the creative economy will become increasingly attractive as a home for that capital. It is vital we develop the infrastructure within the creative economy to provide investable opportunities.

The skills we have developed at Arts & Culture Finance in investment origination, impact management, measurement and evaluation, and business model understanding, market dynamics, and challenges in areas such as talent development and retention and governance, as well as role of creative economy to provide supportive environments for innovation, are specific to the creative economy. We believe our bespoke sector skills and experience not only enable us to build better relationships and promote the potential of impact investment to drive commercial models, economic growth and the myriad other positive impacts of the sector, they also mean we make better investments. We can manage the risks of our investments and our overall exposure better, and strike a constructive balance between financial risk and return and impact risk and return. Our position is that local intermediaries with deep knowledge and networks, and impact risk and return. Our position is that local intermediaries with deep knowledge and networks, and strong and proliferating credibility within the target sector, are absolutely vital to driving creative economy growth through impact investment.

There are many exciting opportunities for new fund development. As the energy crisis continues and creative and cultural venues across the world battle with rising costs and outdated energy and heating infrastructure, there is a huge opportunity for impact capital to be deployed to retrofit the sector’s global asset base. Venues are unique in how they seek to engage visitors, and provide not only an opportunity to develop best practice through collective planning and experimentation, but also to ensure that the act of retrofitting is itself an act of public engagement.

Collective investment vehicles can not only catalyse action by making capital available, they can also be learning centres for what works: we have often helped investee organisations navigate problems we have experienced elsewhere in the portfolio, either by connecting them directly, applying our own learnings across the two situations, or, in some cases, by helping the original organisation produce and sell their valuable experience to willing and grateful customers. The other huge benefits of collective investment vehicles that span the public, private and philanthropic sectors, are that power dynamics shift and diffuse, and, crucially, that the social impact that motivates all of the investors becomes the lodestar around which their otherwise competing agendas can coalesce. When the positive outcome is the ultimate unifying priority, collaboration becomes easier and long-term partnerships are born.

This chapter of Creativity, Culture and Capital is drawing to a close. The beautiful founding coalition of Arts & Culture Finance, Upstart CoLab and Fundacion Compromiso – built on trust, respect and a shared, unswerving belief in the project’s aim of making a compelling case for impact investing in the creative economy – has delivered 100 inspiring stories to ignite a pilot light under this incredible source of positive social outcomes, for individuals, communities, society and the planet.

As the energy crisis continues and creative and cultural venues across the world battle with rising costs and outdated energy and heating infrastructure, there is a huge opportunity for impact capital to be deployed to retrofit the sector’s global asset base. Venues are unique in how they seek to engage visitors, and provide not only an opportunity to develop best practice through collective planning and experimentation, but also to ensure that the act of retrofitting is itself an act of public engagement.

Fran Sanderson has been at Nesta for five years and has overseen the development of Arts & Culture Finance, which manages over £30m of impact capital. She has led on various projects, including Amplified, a grant and structured support programme helping cultural and creative organisations to use digital ideas to generate social impact; Nesta’s involvement in the RSC’s Audience of the Future project; a Creative Immersive Mental Health fellowship programme with StoryFutures looking at the role of creatives in engaging users; and Alternatives, a prize designed to reignite interest in reading for pleasure among young people. Fran started her career as a fund manager at JPMorgan, before spending some time travelling in a campervan and living off grid in southern Portugal with her young family. She started working in impact investment at the birth of Big Society Capital in 2012, and has a lifelong passion for the arts.
Creativity and culture generate powerful impact. We knew that when we began this project – and yet, over the 100 essays we’ve gathered, we’ve seen it reinforced time and again, and in unexpected ways. A Native Alaskan tribal organisation inspiring its youth, celebrating its stories and creating a revenue stream through video games. A Colombian city, Medellín, tackling poverty and violence and dramatically improving the quality of life of its residents through investment in culture. A collectively owned entertainment label achieving individual and community empowerment in an informal settlement in Nairobi.

In this third and final collection, we find yet more examples of the ways in which culture can forge new connections and tackle wicked problems. From homelessness to healthcare, indigenous rights to prisoner rehabilitation, creative organisations are providing creative solutions, through the visual arts, film, music, fashion and more. We see these stories as building blocks in a global movement towards a more inclusive and sustainable economy, with creativity at its core.
Investing in the arts can improve population health

Dr Daisy Fancourt, Associate Professor of Psychobiology & Epidemiology; Head, Social Biobehavioural Research Group; Director, World Health Organisation Collaborating Centre for Arts & Health

Alexandra Bradbury, Research Programmes Manager
University College London

Pioneering research by UCL shows a powerful link between health and arts & cultural engagement, opening up novel ways of managing and treating mental and physical health conditions.
We recognised that buried within them were dozens of questions on how people participate in the arts. We then used cutting-edge statistical techniques to look for associations between arts participation and health outcomes. Most excitingly, because the samples are representative of the population, our findings reflect how the arts could impact health at a societal level.

We’ve also explored whether arts engagement is related to the incidence of health conditions in the first place—a question that had never been considered. Over the past five years, we’ve found significant evidence for the preventative effects of the arts on health. For example, women who listen to music during pregnancy are less likely to develop postnatal depression in the three months after birth. Older adults in England (age 50+) who regularly participate in cultural activities (for example, attending the theatre, cinema or galleries) have nearly half (48%) the risk of developing dementia compared to people who do not engage in culture. They are also more likely to have higher levels of wellbeing, a lower risk of loneliness, a lower risk of developing chronic pain and a lower likelihood of becoming frail. We also found lower risks of those in this group developing dementia or having poorer cognitive functioning.

On the other end of the age spectrum, we’ve found that arts and cultural engagement can have long-lasting effects from childhood to adolescence. Specifically, children aged seven who take part in creative activities have a lower risk of developing behavioural problems in early adolescence. If children tend to read for pleasure, they are less likely to use cigarettes and alcohol at age 14, and they also have lower levels of hyperactivity, inattention, and better prosocial behaviour. Adolescents also benefit from arts engagement. They are less likely to be antisocial, to behave criminally, to perceive such behaviour positively, and they are more likely to have better self-control. Alcohol, marijuana and tobacco use are also less common among teenagers taking part in arts groups.

These models have tremendous significance for how we can design health programmes to prevent, manage and treat physical and mental health conditions.
A large part of our work in recent years has turned to the question of ‘why’: what is it about the arts that means they have the capacity to affect our health and how does this happen? Two major developments from our work have been the identification of over 130 ‘active ingredients’ in arts activities that all have the potential to affect health, and over 600 ‘mechanisms of action’ that link these ingredients to specific mental and physical health outcomes. These ingredients include aspects of arts activities themselves, the social components that can be involved in engaging and participating, and the context within which activities are delivered. Mechanisms are the processes that link arts engagement with health outcomes and they can be psychological, biological, social and behavioural. We’re proposing new complex theoretical models that explain the interrelationship between these ingredients, mechanisms and outcomes in our scientific papers. These models have tremendous significance for how we can design health programmes to prevent, manage and treat physical and mental health conditions.

Unfortunately, we’re also finding that many people face barriers to accessing cultural resources, especially individuals from less advantaged backgrounds. People with mental health conditions, with low levels of happiness, who are in poor health and who live in deprived areas are also less likely to engage. This is a big challenge because it means that those who are most likely to experience poor health are also more likely to miss out on the health benefits of arts engagement. Fortunately, regardless of where people live, we’ve found they can experience the same health benefits from the arts. But the question is, how can we remove obstacles for people who need the arts the most?

This returns us to social prescribing, which aims to help equalise arts and cultural access and address health inequalities. However, current schemes are far from meeting health demand, which will inevitably increase due to the consequences of the pandemic, the cost-of-living crisis, our ageing population, increasing geopolitical tension and its repercussions, the rising burden of mental health, years of underfunding of our NHS, the increasing burden of chronic diseases and multiple other challenges. More work is needed to understand how social prescribing may address these inequalities.

These are also worldwide problems, and the World Health Organisation is already working with us to explore the potential of the arts and social prescribing to improve health in communities across the world. The field of arts-in-health offers limitless opportunities to address these problems, but we need governments, healthcare systems and investors to prioritise the arts for population health. We need a massive funnelling of capital into the arts sector to provide essential cultural assets for social prescribing schemes. And we need to bring together community groups, arts organisations, health services and other partners to revolutionise our approach to healthcare delivery.

Dr Daisy Fancourt is Associate Professor of Psychobiology & Epidemiology at University College London, where she heads the Social Biobehavioural Research Group. Her research focuses on the effects of social factors on health, including loneliness, social isolation, social and community assets, arts and cultural engagement, and social prescribing. Her work includes behavioural studies, clinical trials of new psychosocial interventions within the NHS, and epidemiological analyses. She is Director of the World Health Organisation Collaborating Centre on Arts and Health, a member of the WHO TAG on mental health in Covid-19, an Expert Scientific Adviser to UK government, a member of the Lancet Covid-19 Commission, a BBC New Generation Thinker and a World Economic Forum Global Shaper.

Alexandra Bradbury is a Research Programmes Manager in the Social Biobehavioural Research Group at University College London. She is experienced in science communications and research management and formerly coordinated the COVID-MINDS Network. She is also an MBA candidate at the UCL Global Business School for Health.
A record label born in a UK prison is providing participants with vital skills through songwriting and co-creation.

Judah Armani
Head of Social Design Lab, Royal College of Art
Senior Researcher, Central St Martin’s Design Against Crime
Visiting Fellow, Rhode Island School of Design

Building social capital through collaborative design
At the very heart of InHouse lies creativity – a creativity that lives in the most challenging circumstances, and which demonstrates clearly that making something together provides so much more than just that which is made. The man finds out that making something together requires collaboration, which needs its own set of nuanced tools: communication, accountability and adaptability. These are often the neglected tools of the co-creative process, but without them co-creation cannot happen.

There are many creatives who choose to occupy the liminal spaces of societal invisibility with the power of innovation. I have been drawn to these liminal spaces ever since I was a child, not just because I believe this is the precise point of intervention for art and design, but because my life is richer for it. Ever since I arrived in London as an immigrant from the Middle East, these spaces have been familiar to me. I know what it is to be an outsider, and I know what it is to be visible enough for my differences to make me invisible.

Over the last two decades, I have been privileged to collaborate with disparate communities embedded within homelessness and His Majesty’s Prisons. I have witnessed countless examples of the ways in which creativity nurtures, enriches and encourages neighbourhoods.

InHouse Records is a record label that was born in His Majesty’s Prison (HMP Elmley) and developed through a completely collaborative narrative. It has grown to provide participants with an opportunity for skills-based training in custody and in the community. InHouse operates in the criminal justice system.

Almost all InHouse songwriters will change their narrative through songwriting, taking on more accountability, as they begin to make more sense of their past in order to build a safer future.

The growth in communication and accountability through the process of writing songs generates learning capacity, or adaptability. Let’s be clear, adaptability is not shorthand for ‘resilience’. Rather, it should be an organic byproduct of understanding each other better, being open to new ideas and new ways of thinking, providing a sustainable flow of creativity. When ideas are heard, repeated and adopted, people begin to think less like a ‘self’ and more like a ‘collective’, a neighbourhood. An enhanced accountability, in short, sharing ideas leads to more learning.

For InHouse Records, songwriting is the concrete process through which to nurture the competencies of communication, accountability and adaptability. Songwriting provides the songwriter with an opportunity to express narratives that might otherwise have been difficult to articulate. In the telling of these stories, the writer not only develops communication skills but also begins to open the door to accountability.

We all construct our own narratives from our life experience and events. As we learn more about ourselves, the opportunity to change our narrative to (hopefully) a more accurate representation presents itself, enabling us to own bits of our narrative that may be uncomfortable. For InHouse artists, each song is an opportunity to view their own narrative. To begin a process of challenging and examining.

Almost all InHouse songwriters change their narrative through songwriting, taking on more accountability, as they begin to make more sense of their past in order to build a safer future.

The skills developed collaboratively at InHouse – communication, accountability and adaptability – form the nucleus of the social capital missing through systemic breakdown. There was a time when the UK criminal justice system employed machines to punish the body. Justice was meted out through instruments such as the stocks, the rack or the hangman’s noose. Gradually, the switch was made from punishing the body to punishing the soul, and from using machines to using regimes. When the soul is punished, we must find and speak the language of the soul to open a fresh dialogue. Creativity and the arts are the clearest language of the soul – and music can translate stories that have previously been impossible to articulate.

Through creativity and the skills to collaborate, that which is invisible can become more visible and that which society chooses to hide from its sight can be gradually revealed. A fresh lens is fashioned through creative collaboration, and through this lens we can all see a better way of being human.

Judah Amroni is the head of Social Design Lab at the Royal College of Art, a senior researcher at Central St Martin’s Design Against Crime and a visiting fellow of the Rhode Island School of Design. He has his own practice of collaborative design, which currently operates in the criminal justice system.

I am very fortunate. I remind myself of that daily. My work revolves around creativity and collaboration – often collaboration with those in the most challenging circumstances. Difficulty and creativity appear to be natural bedfellows – after all, necessity is the mother of invention – but there is a darker side to this pairing. The streams of creativity do not always flow freely to those whom society chooses to ignore. Innovation can often be a luxury afforded to those who are visible, known and accepted. InHouse is simply a record label, making music that is released and distributed through Sony – music that is performed live, including on stage in 2022 at Latitude and the Brighton Festival.
FILMANDES: An experience in the creative industry

Marcelo Ortega
President, FILMANDES

An association dedicated to promoting the development of the creative industries in Mendoza seeks to put local audiovisual talent on the global stage.
As part of the consolidation of the creative ecosystem, we established partnerships with the education sector ranging from the initial stage, in which audiences begin to be created, to university and postgraduate training. We also built an audiovisual observatory, identifying over 200 job types that are in demand in the industry and for which there is not currently sufficient training. This observatory is coordinated with the National University of Cuyo and disseminates trend data and strategic information for decision-making. As a result of this activity, we have launched FilmAndes Academy, a space for job creation in line with industry needs. So far, more than 300 people have passed through the different training phases of this programme.

At the national level, the Argentine Audiovisual Corridor (CAAR) was established, bringing together chambers of commerce and creative clusters from Córdoba, Rosario, Tucumán, Bariloche, Corrientes, Misiones and Buenos Aires around the goal of positioning the sector and balancing investment and production models throughout the country. An exciting event was the creation of the Creative Route 40, which unites the cities of Mendoza and Bariloche in an audiovisual project competition that aims to show the identity, diversity and talent of this Argentine West Coast.

Throughout 2021, we boosted the visibility of the sector with outreach programmes through the media and networks. We created and hosted the first creative economy economy forum, including specialists and experts at the national and international levels, and we also aired GR, Nueva Economía, a TV show that features dynamic interviews with guests who are able to present concrete examples of how culture and creativity generate development and wealth.

We are convinced that FilmAndes, as a space for collaborative development in the audiovisual sector, is an exemplar of the new economy in action: a growing trend that is disruptive and makes knowledge and innovation core components of the production process. It generates new elements of the value chain, includes people who act as agents of change, and develops new audiences – which, in short, become new markets and global opportunities for expansion.

All over the world, understanding of the concept of the creative industries – the orange economy, as defined by the IADB – is growing. In our own West Coast, we have made up mainly of micro and small businesses. We prioritise the relationship with the ‘official’ sectors – the economy, production and export – to establish channels for dialogue on investment, financing and international relations. We always work on the premise that the creative industry is based on talent, ideas and culture, but we also factor in the need to encourage governments to invest, promote the support of the business sector and see the vast development potential of this industry.

In 2019, we achieved approval for a law that establishes an audiovisual promotion system, recognising the sector as an industry, allocates specific funds for the development of audiovisual projects, and creates the Mendoza Film Commission (made up of an association of public bodies that include the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Culture and Tourism and Pro Mendoza, along with non-governmental organisations that group several businesses and audiovisual production companies). With this legal framework and a pluralist working group in place, a first guarantee fund was formed to offer lending at a subsidised rate and serve as a complement fund for audiovisual projects. This year we have managed to triple that initial investment. Our draft bill on the attraction of investment to the audiovisual industry in Mendoza now has the government’s approval to be put to the provincial legislature and become law this year.

Together with the Municipality of the City of Mendoza, we have set up a 1,300m² film studio in the 33rd District. Although it is still being fitted out and equipped to international standards, we have already shot some commercials and films. This property adjoins an urban area of scarce resources, with the Municipality of Mendoza, we have coordinated a social integration programme through which we have included workers and technicians from this adjacent neighbourhood in each of the projects filmed so far.

In our own West Coast, we have the necessary conditions to offer infrastructure, qualified human resources, technology, installed capacity, public-private interaction and, more fundamentally, culture.
Digitising humanity vs. humanising the digital

Sarah Coward
CEO and Co-Founder, In The Room

Conversational AI is democratising access to wisdom, experience and expertise. Creative organisations that embrace the technology can create richer connections with their audiences.
Digital media, synthetic human avatars, deep fakes and conversational AI. All of these are playing roles in how humans, humanity and human experiences are represented. Companies, organisations and creators will increasingly be faced with sometimes challenging choices about how they represent humans, the use of fictional versus real human personas, and how technology can support the next stages of human representation through digital means.

The birth of virtual humans
No one can have missed the development of digital humans and virtual influencers.

From Lu du Magalu to Thalasya, synthetic personalitites are developing online communities and promoting social causes and commercial products. Operating in the same social media space as human influencers, many of them have individual ‘interests’, such as art and film, and talk about their ‘lifestyles’, including sustainable fashion and veganism.

Obviously, being digital, none of these influencers have ever eaten anything, worn real clothes or taken part in any activity. Whether this matters or not for fictional creations might depend on a myriad of factors, such as your attitude to the blend of human and digital creations being represented in the same space. Or whether the audience knows who the humans are behind these digital creations – whether it was a leader, teacher, parent, friend or someone we disagreed with. In these moments, we not only get a glimpse into someone else’s life and perspective, we also have the opportunity to reflect on our own.

This process undoubtedly leads to creativity and change. Conversation with others who might have lived very differently from us can challenge us and build empathy, understanding and inspiration.

Alongside the development of entirely synthetic humans, digital media and conversational AI are transforming access to the knowledge, part in any real people whose lived experience makes them unique. And we have the opportunity to harness these approaches to drive positive impact.

As voice interaction and conversational interfaces grow, they are fuelling a new conversational economy, where conversation is the foundation of the relationship between the audience and the organisation. They can shine a spotlight on issues and – because they can be created and controlled – provide an avenue for human representation that can be perfectly defined to reflect a story, company, organisation or brand. As a result, creative and social opportunities for the use of virtual and digital humans will continue to develop at pace.

Scaling access to human experience
Most of us will have had a moment with a unique individual who has changed our lives by sharing a unique perspective – whether it was a leader, teacher, parent, friend or someone we disagreed with. In these moments, we not only get a glimpse into someone else’s life and perspective, we also have the opportunity to reflect on our own.

This process undoubtedly leads to creativity and change. Conversation with others who might have lived very differently from us can challenge us and build empathy, understanding and inspiration.

Alongside the development of entirely synthetic humans, digital media and conversational AI are transforming access to the knowledge, part in any real people whose lived experience makes them unique. And we have the opportunity to harness these approaches to drive positive impact.

Our work at In The Room draws on this principle. Our conversational media platform enables the use of authentically captured audiovisual content, combined with conversational AI, to create voice interactive experiences with real people you might never get to meet in person.

Global audiences can ask questions ‘to’ unique individuals and receive an answer immediately from an archive of pre-recorded, authentic answers. The person is reflected in their own words, tone and body language – being entirely ‘themselves’. As a consequence, the technology enables deep engagement with the audience, who can make their own ‘conversation’, with remarkable results.

Our platform has been used for inspirational, creative, commercial and educational purposes. Importantly, it can be used for areas in which the individual human experience is vital for the audience. For example, the technology has been used to preserve the legacy and experiences of Holocaust survivors, allowing young people, who will never meet these witnesses in person, to ask questions and hear their first-hand accounts directly.

It has also been used to scale up access to world-leading experts in various mental health conditions, letting people receive answers to frequently asked questions about topics such as depression from specific and known experts. And it has provided access to the stories of those who have experienced mental health issues, enabling people to ask them questions about their journey and, in many cases, their recovery.

Audiences recognise the power of connecting with the experiences of real people – both to better understand serious topics and to gain access to uniquely talented people such as leaders, musicians and artists.

Working alongside Universal Music and the National Portrait Gallery, our work creating the world’s first interactive digital portrait, featuring legendary musician Nile Rodgers, showed the power of a one-to-one digital interview for fans, deepening their understanding of his music, talent and impact on the industry.

Real humans are not as controllable as fully virtual avatars. They bring with them the messiness of lives lived, mistakes made and narratives that do not always make sense. They also bring the inspiration of real challenges overcome – and they bring hope, empathy, humour and more.
The conversational economy

Our research shows that audiences perceive significant power and value in connecting with real, individual human experience, but also that they are open to using new technologies to access unique people who are in demand. As voice interaction and conversational interfaces grow, they are fuelling a new conversational economy, where conversation is the foundation of the relationship between the audience and the organisation. Organisations will need to embrace new approaches to speak with their audiences, rather than broadcasting to them. New tools will support this revolution, enabling creative companies and nonprofits to increase the impact of their experts and leaders, and reach their audiences at scale.

While individuals and organisations will have their own choices to make about the use of synthetic or authentic media, or blends of the two, it is clear that these approaches will co-exist and that there will be many distribution points for these types of experiences.

As the conversational economy develops, creative organisations will need to think deeply about how, when and why they can use new conversational techniques – and what type of human representation they want to put forward. With ambition, we can harness the power of human impact and the power of scalable technology to solve real problems by democratising access to the wit, wisdom and knowledge of unique individuals.

Sarah Coward is a founder and CEO with a passion for transforming audience engagement and understanding through conversation. She established In The Room to enable people to connect with remarkable people from all walks of life. Prior to founding In The Room, Sarah worked at the National Holocaust Centre and Museum, instigating the Forever Project, an award-winning work creating authentic digital projections of Holocaust survivors. Sarah has 15 years’ experience at director level, and 20 years’ experience in business development, with a focus on developing strategic vision to drive growth. She was part of the Nesta/Arts Council Accelerator Programme aimed at pushing forward the application of new technologies to arts organisations, following on from successful participation in the R&D Digital Fund for the Arts.
Creative climate activism is necessary and exciting – and, when properly funded, can reach new audiences and leave a powerful legacy.

Love Ssega
Musician and Artist

Art as a catalyst for change

Creative climate activism is necessary and exciting – and, when properly funded, can reach new audiences and leave a powerful legacy.
Over the past two years, I have found my work as an artist focused on the climate emergency – essentially, connecting the arts with climate activism. Something very intriguing has happened over this period. I’ve found that my motivation to continue to pursue the arts has increased exponentially. Furthermore, I’ve found there is a public out there crying out for more art directly relating to climate. Popular music and art should reflect the times they are made in. As we watch temperatures soar, floods rise and fires rage, what artist could in good artistic conscience ignore what’s happening? On the other side of the coin, I believe museums, galleries, commissioners and other cultural institutions should in good conscience invest in and support artists looking to highlight our climate emergency.

Over this short period of time, I have managed to use my art as part of a MoMA PS1 x Slow Factory installation, The revolution is a school, in New York; feature in a BBC Radio 4 documentary, Clearing the Air; feature in YouTube Originals series Seat At The Table, alongside Sir David Attenborough and President Barack Obama; create a new sound piece for Theaster Gates’ Serpentine Pavilion, Black Chapel; run a community-wide arts and air pollution campaign, LIVE + BREATHE, in two of London’s most densely populated inner city boroughs; compose a new piece, Capes For Blue Skies, for London’s Philharmonia Orchestra, mixed at Abbey Road Studios; and, on Earth Day 2022, create and direct a promenade performance with an all-Black cast for Love Ssega’s HOME-Zero inside the three largest rooms of the National Gallery in London. If this type of career sounds exciting to you, read on to hear why I think creative climate activism is a necessary and exciting endeavour.

The power of the arts lies in their ability to invoke a reaction – or, in other words, to activate an emotion. Therefore, what artist isn’t an activist? At the beginning of the pandemic, a commission from Season For Change, co-run by UK arts organisations Julie’s Bicycle and Artsadmin, gave me the opportunity to use my songwriting to address an issue of climate and racial injustice. My project Airs of the South Circular focused on the toxic effects of air pollution on the Black community in my borough of Lewisham in south London. A song I wrote, Our World (Fight For Air), was first picked up by local media and then shown at COP26 in Glasgow in 2021, as part of the official UN programme – not bad for a song about air pollution. This is what happens when investment is directed towards enabling artists to make the art they want to make.

The National Gallery has one of the most iconic facades in London. It dominates the north side of Trafalgar Square in the heart of the capital, less than a mile from both Buckingham Palace and the Houses of Parliament. Nesta and National Gallery X (a collaboration between the National Gallery and King’s College London) put out a callout for a commission, HOME-Zero, that would use art to catalyse change. The winning artist would work with the National Gallery’s world-famous collection to start a public conversation on the relationship between household emissions and climate change – an important topic given that household emissions account for a fifth of all UK emissions and yet public awareness is even lower than for issues such as air pollution.

This was quite a specific brief; however, for an artist, the challenge is part of the attraction. The project also came with a commission fee of just over £40,000, which meant a large-scale experience could be created, with all collaborators and fellow artists getting properly paid. Part of my winning commission plan involved a workshop with 18- to 35-year-old people of colour in the National Gallery X R&D lab, with all participants, mainly from minority backgrounds, paid for their time and input – a key but often overlooked point. Over an evening, the workshop participants heard from and interacted with Lisa Insansa (Gal-Dem journalist, writer and specialist on the history of Black squatting movements), Abby Jitendra (Citizens Advice), Kennedy Woods Architects, and Madeleine Gabriel (Nesta mission director: A Sustainable Future), enabling all groups to learn from each other. The outputs from the workshop informed the creative and
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One last point on art and activism is the legacy they can create. As part of this project, I commissioned four new MIDI-controllable instruments made from upcycled fossil fuel heating components such as old radiators and gas cylinders. The plan is to perform with them alongside collaborators Shadwell Ensemble, taking the message of Love Ssega’s HOME-Zero to new audiences and even having new musical works focused on reducing home emissions written and performed. We aim to take the instruments, currently on display at the Nesta building, around the UK to music festivals, venues and educational settings. This is what the arts and climate activism can do. To see more, just invest.

Love Ssega is a performing artist whose music-led work has been performed at London’s National Gallery and Serpentine Pavilion, with visual art exhibited at New York’s MoMA PS1. His use of art and culture to promote climate awareness saw him invited to host at United Nations COP26 and featured at The New York Times Climate Forward. Love Ssega was the original frontman-songwriter of Grammy-winning Clean Bandit and is now Artist in Residence for Royal Festival Hall’s Philharmonia Orchestra. Love Ssega is a Trustee of Brian Eno-led climate charity EarthPercent and Arts Foundation Music For Change Fellow 2022.

www.lovessega.com
Instagram: @lovessega
Twitter: @LoveSsega
Facebook: facebook.com/lovessega
Youtube: youtube.com/lovessega

Love Ssega’s HOME-Zero at the National Gallery, London
Photo credit: Sophie Harbinson

musical responses for the Earth Day 2022 presentation of Love Ssega’s HOME-Zero in the heart of the National Gallery. These were two promenade performances with an all-Black cast including poets Kieron Rennie and Solomon O.B. and choreographer-dancers Krystal S. Lowe and Paris Crossley. I composed a new 30-minute score inspired by the National Gallery’s collection of Turner, Caravaggio and Constable paintings, in front of which the performance took place, and each performer created original poetry and choreography inspired by the workshop outputs. The two performances drew the largest crowds to the National Gallery Lates since before the pandemic. This was an energetic, open-minded and diverse crowd of 18-35 year olds, some of whom were entering the National Gallery for the first time, all for the cause of climate activism and art.
Unlocking culture’s potential through creative R&D

Katie Popperwell
Senior International Producer,
UNBOXED: Creativity in the UK

A new approach to commissioning and cross-sectoral creative R&D offers a model for the mobilisation of the cultural sector to play a more prominent role within the sustainable development agenda.
Socially engaged practice has become increasingly prominent over the past decade across visual arts, performance, theatre, design and pedagogy as artists and institutions respond to urgent global issues from their local contexts. The global pandemic has thrown into sharp relief issues around social inequality, while demonstrating the potential for the collective use of creative technology and the internet to galvanise communities of interest, shift mainstream narratives and demand societal change. It was within this context that Creative Capital, a programme worth of £220 million in investment into the UK’s creative economy, brought together cross-sectoral creative teams to design and deliver projects of scale over a two-year period. That investment resulted in a programme that decentralises creative innovation and governance to initiatives that could begin to inform a new framework for the commissioning of creative work that aligns to the UN’s global sustainable development agenda at a previously undelivered scale. UNBOXED: Creativity for the Common Good was designed as a means of bringing together creative thinkers across disciplines and encouraging cross-sectoral collaboration. The project began life as a funding commitment in March 2020 but was delayed by the Covid-19 lockdown and did not start until the end of the year. The programme was funded and supported by the four governments of the UK and delivered in partnership with Belfast City Council, Creative Wales and EventScotland. It featured 10 major, multi-site and digital creative projects all designed to be experienced by audiences free of charge, to engage with communities outside major metropolitan areas across the four nations of the UK, in places often less well served culturally.

There is a huge potential to generate shifts in public perception on issues of sustainable development when investment into grassroots creators and organisations enables them to work at scale and across sectors. UNBOXED enabled diverse groups of artists, practitioners and thinkers to include at least one organisation or individual with experience of delivering large-scale public programmes and to include at least one team member who had not worked with any of the team before.

In September 2020, six months after the first Covid lockdown was announced in the UK, an open call went out for teams to assemble across at least three of the STEM sectors, with a mandatory representation of the arts. Each team was required to demonstrate a clear focus on platforming underrepresented artists and to include at least one organisation or individual with experience of delivering large-scale public programmes and to include at least one team member who had not worked with any of the team before.

Keynote speakers including musician Nile Rodgers, writer Bernadine Evaristo and space scientist Maggie Aderin-Pocock contributed to the Creative Studio programme, providing richness and inspiration, while the BBC’s R&D department led the group in a series of structured creative exercises to support the idea-generation process, introducing design and future-craft methodology that was familiar to many of the participants and brand new to many more. The cohort was given guidance on how to make new teams work, how to ensure inclusion in your process and how to innovate with intent.

There is a huge potential to generate shifts in public perception on issues of sustainable development when investment into grassroots creators and organisations enables them to work at scale and across sectors. UNBOXED enabled diverse groups of artists, practitioners and thinkers to include at least one organisation or individual with experience of delivering large-scale public programmes and to include at least one team member who had not worked with any of the team before.

After the end of the R&D period, the 30 teams pitched their ideas and 10 were selected. The project materials included all the early ideas; a list of three projects was submitted under a creative commons licence. The intention is for this body of material, alongside the digital footprint of the full live programme, to be published as a free ‘commons of ideas’ to support cross-sector groups or individuals to collaborate on new creative concepts.

From this novel and incredibly ambitious commissioning mechanism and the scale of the investment in the collective creative process, an informal but consistent thread emerged. Though a mission-led approach had not been expressly part of the brief, each of the selected commissions responded to the provocation of ‘optimism’ by addressing a range of humanitarian and environmental challenges, from the climate emergency to the legacy of colonisation, from creativity to land access rights. A strong current of activism surfaced across the programme as scientists and artists formed coalitions around mutual goals. Science and technology provided the tools to effect material change; socially engaged artistic practice offered the motivation and values to drive that change. For artists and organisations who had previously engaged in mission-led work in grassroots contexts, national-scale budgets and collaboration with other sectors facilitated a massive explosion of scale.

The 10 successful teams were awarded individually allocated budgets, with the Barnett formula used to establish the division of funding across the four nations, and the projects went into production at the start of 2021. Each of the 10 commissions seeks to shift public perception by inspiring audiences to think differently about the way they experience the world. Some have been more explicit in their small-p political missions – such as Dandelion, which aims to seed a sustainable mass movement of community growing and environmental regeneration in Scotland; Pollinations in its explicit deconstruction of the postcolonial myths of nationhood; and Green Space Dark Skies in its direct provocation to interrogate the status quo around land ownership, rights, access and natural heritage.

But that vision of a more positive shared future is embedded in all the projects. Dreamachine creates a powerful collective and individual hallucinatory-like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census. At the same time as producing a spectacular lighting and projection mapping like experience for audiences, while contributing to an international body of research into human perception, The Perception Census.
The RSA launched a major new research enquiry in 2022 that uses the 10 UNBOXED commissions as its basis. Collective Futures asks how collective imagination and creative collaboration might help shape better futures for people and planet. Through an open call to the RSA’s 33,000-strong global fellowship, it seeks to capture projects all over the world with approaches to collective creativity that transcend the traditional institutional and individual models.

Through this lens, the UNBOXED commissions taken as a whole serve as a case study that speaks directly to the Missing Pillar agenda, a programme of research and advocacy led by the British Council that seeks to evidence the vital role of culture in the delivery of the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Culture is not currently formally recognised alongside the three pillars of development – social, economic and environmental – but, as UNBOXED demonstrates, there is a huge potential to generate shifts in public perception on issues of sustainable development when investment into grassroots creators and organisations enables them to work at scale and across sectors.

In the creative sectors, it is widely understood that culture’s potential as a tool in sustainable development is far from being unlocked. Large-scale, open-access projects that capture the public’s imagination can transform the thinking of people and communities and help us to build a more equitable and sustainable future. But to enable culture to play its full role in addressing the challenges we face, we need further investment and further exploration of radical new models of commissioning and R&D that provide space for collaboration, exploration and imagination, with a focus on the creation of more positive futures.

Katie Popperwell is a multidisciplinary creative producer and cultural critic based in the UK. She is currently Senior International Producer at UNBOXED: Creativity in the UK, where she leads on a programme of international engagement and thought leadership including research, events and digital content. During her 20-year career in the arts she has created programmes of work for major festivals including Manchester International Festival and 14 – 18 NOW and acted as a creative consultant for a variety of businesses, higher education institutions and community organisations. A former journalist, she has contributed to a range of publications and presented BBC Radio 4’s flagship arts programme Front Row. She is a trustee of Manchester UNESCO City of Literature.
Creativity without purpose means nothing

Lucía Coll, Managing Director
Esteban Torbar, Founder
Eposak

In Venezuela, an organisation promoting the development of sustainable tourism is empowering communities and transforming lives.
The lesson we have learnt from the pandemic is much more profound than we can imagine. As the days go by, we are increasingly committed to looking around us and understanding that the balance that allows us to stay alive is fragile and subtle. Our unbridled creativity and ‘progress’ pushed the planet to the edge of a cliff, and it was just then that the world turned around, looked us in the eye and asked, ‘Is this really how you want to end this story?’ Then everything stopped. We allowed ourselves to reflect and live in the realms of reclaiming its spaces. We reinvented ourselves a thousand times, and the full weight of the importance of sustainability fell on us. We understood that our creativity is worthless if we do not link it to a purpose – to something that makes this world a fairer place for everyone.

Living in Venezuela is seeing creativity run through the streets every day. This creativity materializes differently depending on the direction taken by each creative practitioner. Sometimes, it serves the sole purpose of overcoming the many adversities that stem from daily life in Venezuela, a country where a third of the population lacks food security; where inflation, at 686.4% at the end of 2021, is the highest in the world; where the political instability, insecurity or lack of access to education, lack of opportunities and the highest teen pregnancy rate in South America.

Our creativity unites with our purpose by virtue of each of these places. Because, in them, we see harmony despite the chaos. We see the drive and desire to create better realities. Each of the projects we have developed in these seemingly chaotic and peasant and fishing communities has brought about immense challenges. Regardless of the nature of the project we are delivering (infrastructure, musical tourism, solar energy, virtual tourism, sport and more), the number of challenges we have to face is gigantic. Our problem-solving skills have accelerated with every passing year, with every new community. They have grown with every reinvention of the sustainable tourism of these places, with every traveller connecting with them and returning home with a smile and a new glow in their eyes. The satisfaction is immense. It adds years to our lives.

What makes us different is that we are not afraid. For over a decade, we have worked without fearing lack of time, lack of resources, political instability, insecurity or uncertainty. So far, we have delivered more than 35 experiential projects, offered more than 2,000 hours of training, impacted nine rural communities, benefited 3,000 people directly and more than 26,000 indirectly, hosted more than 4,000 face-to-face and virtual voyagers who have travelled with us, and, since May 2020, designed and delivered more than 35 online experiences.

Today we can tell stories that have transformed lives, such as that of Birongo, a disenfranchised community that is now an emblematic one for sustainability. Esteban torque at a young age, and now counts with more than 18 years of professional experience in adventure tourism. Since 2011, she has supported rural communities to develop sustainable tourism. Esteban holds a Bachelor’s degree in Communications – Audiovisual Arts from Universidad Catolica Andres Bello in Venezuela. She has completed courses on Creativity and Design Thinking from Stanford University, and Adventure Filmmaking from Banff Center of Arts and Creativity, Canada. She also holds certifications in Social Impact and Environmental Sustainability (Acumen), Marketing Management, and Leadership on Sustainability, Social Inclusion and Climate Change. Esteban is co-founder of sustainable tourism organisations including Atete – the Sustainable Tourism Network of Venezuela and Climbing Venezuela, and volunteers with the I Love Venezuela Foundation.

We understood that our creativity is worthless if we do not link it to a purpose – to something that makes this world a fairer place for everyone.

Lucio Celi is managing director at Eposak Foundation and a social entrepreneur. She became a guide at a young age, and now counts with more than 18 years of professional experience in adventure tourism. Since 2011, she has supported rural communities to develop sustainable tourism. Lucio holds a Bachelor’s degree in Communications – Audiovisual Arts from Universidad Catolica Andres Bello in Venezuela. She has completed courses on Creativity and Design Thinking from Stanford University, and Adventure Filmmaking from Banff Center of Arts and Creativity, Canada. She also holds certifications in Social Impact and Environmental Sustainability (Acumen), Marketing Management, and Leadership on Sustainability, Social Inclusion and Climate Change. Lucio is co-founder of sustainable tourism organisations including Atete – the Sustainable Tourism Network of Venezuela and Climbing Venezuela, and volunteers with the I Love Venezuela Foundation.

Esteban Torber has been an entrepreneur in travel and tourism for 25 years. He is president of the Meso Group and founder of Eposak, an organisation that promotes the development of sustainable tourism. Esteban has been a promoter and co-founder of several initiatives in travel and tourism, including the Hotel Cayena Caracas and Yopacen com. He has been an Ashoka Fellow since 2014 and a member of YPO since 2007. He was recognised by EY among the 30 inspiring business leaders of 2020.
What does it mean to play in the city?

Dr. Furaha Asani
Research Lead, Watershed

A Bristol, UK-based programme encouraging playful encounters in city spaces became a global network of creative producers. The enforced pause of the pandemic enabled valuable reflection about who may play.
Playing can be a truly engaging activity. It can encourage participation, agency, connection and fun encounters. In public city spaces, especially where there are large volumes of footfall, playing is not necessarily an activity that happens spontaneously, outside of designated locations such as parks and playgrounds. But what happens when city spaces suddenly offer a pop-up invitation to play, right then and there, for a short period of time? Something to remind us that play can be more than just a pastime – that it can be reimagined in the mundane.

About a decade ago, Watershed, a Bristol-based independent cinema and cultural hub, initiated a programme that uses play in public spaces as a tool for disrupting normative views on play. Watershed’s R&D Creative space, the Pervasive Media Studio, was the perfect incubation ground for the conception and prototyping of such an idea, drawing on a rich community of street games makers and the playful creative technology interventions made within this community. A programme was born that sought to produce creative technology within the existing city infrastructure to facilitate playful encounters. This programme, Playable City™, has now been operating for 10 years, reaching five continents and nine cities, from Lagos to Recife, Tokyo to Mumbai, Singapore to Austin. We have worked with over 74 partners and over 50 creatives, reaching over one million people globally since conception.

Playable City extends you an open and free invitation to play in public spaces, to catalyse a conversation about the kind of city you want to live in.

Playable City annual award from 2013 to 2016, from a global shortlist of projects that explored the theme of playfulness in cities.

The years following the last award in 2016 brought an adaptation of the operational model. As opposed to merely platforming projects, the delivery team decided it was time to begin seeding an international network of creative producers, with the intention of ‘playing’ the concept of ‘playfulness’: to foster and prototyping of such an idea, drawing on a rich community of street games makers and the playful creative technology interventions made within this community. A programme was born that sought to produce creative technology within the existing city infrastructure to facilitate playful encounters. This programme, Playable City™, has now been operating for 10 years, reaching five continents and nine cities, from Lagos to Recife, Tokyo to Mumbai, Singapore to Austin. We have worked with over 74 partners and over 50 creatives, reaching over one million people globally since conception.

Playable City extends you an open and free invitation, via creative technology installations, to play in public spaces, to catalyse a conversation about the kind of city you want to live in.

For a developmental opportunity. This artists’ lab produced six co-designed themes, which we plan to embed into all our future Playable City work:

1. Definitions: How can the definition of play be expanded and owned by everyone and especially those who are marginalised and underrepresented?
2. Permissions: How can we better understand the difference social and cultural pressures different people feel about how they can play in public. What and who might consciously or unconsciously reinforce these pressures?
3. Safety & comfort: Who feels safe and comfortable within a space to play in their own style? How is safety and comfort given or taken away?
4. Perspectives: How can we embrace the many different ways people perceive cities, spaces and play?
5. Details: What details truly build a sense of space for people? How do those change from person to person and how do they shift over time?
6. Accessibility: What biases are present in the very materials with which we create or ask others to be creative. How can this create barriers and inaccessibility, and how do we dismantle these?

In 2021, we co-designed and co-produced hybrid labs with some of our CPI alumni based in Lagos and Durban, looking at themes of creative producing, future heritage, climate justice and creative resilience. We also received funding from Arts Council England’s Cultural Recovery Fund for a three-day online artists’ lab to focus on what a future socially conscious Playable City could look like. In the context of the pandemic, as we transition out of lockdown phases, this means embedding public health considerations in all our Playable City operations and experiences. In our home city of Bristol, and in a building located alongside the harbour where Colston’s statue was thrown into the water in the summer of 2020, it also means exploring how to interrogate the very concept of ‘playfulness’: for instance, how can we responsibly open an invitation to everyone to play in city spaces that are still reeling from historical and contemporary racism, inaccessibility, and other forms of discrimination and injustice?

With these thoughts in mind, we convened our artists’ lab with six artists and makers from among our Pervasive Media Studio residents. The group came together online to converse, share openly and honestly, and play. This artists’ lab produced six co-designed themes, which we plan to embed into all our future Playable City work:

1. Definitions: How can the definition of play be expanded and owned by everyone and especially those who are marginalised and underrepresented?
2. Permissions: How can we better understand the different social and cultural pressures different people feel about how they can ‘play’ in public. What and who might consciously or unconsciously reinforce these pressures?
3. Safety & comfort: Who feels safe and comfortable within a space to play in their own style? How is safety and comfort given or taken away?
4. Perspectives: How can we embrace the many different ways people perceive cities, spaces and play?
5. Details: What details truly build a sense of space for people? How do those change from person to person and how do they shift over time?
6. Accessibility: What biases are present in the very materials with which we create or ask others to be creative. How can this create barriers and inaccessibility, and how do we dismantle these?
An art-science collaboration aims to learn more about the ‘wild diversity’ in the ways in which we experience the world.
You open your eyes and a world appears. What could be simpler than that? It may seem as though the world – with all its colours and shapes, people and places – just pours itself into your mind through the transparent windows of your senses. And that the self – your self – is perched somewhere behind your eyes, taking in a river of information and figuring out what to do.

But how things seem is not how they are. The apparently simple act of experiencing a world is a remarkable feat of biology – an everyday miracle, which nature has specifically designed us to not recognise as being so.

Imagine for a moment that you are your brain. There you are, locked in the bony vault of the skull, trying to figure out what’s out there in the world. All you’ve got to go on is a constant barrage of sensory signals that are only indirectly related to what’s out there. These signals don’t come with labels (‘I’m from a cat! I’m from a cup of tea!’), and their inherent ambiguity means that perception – the process of figuring out what’s there – cannot simply be a ‘read out’ of the information they contain.

Instead, experiencing a world, and a self, are inherently creative acts, the results of an active process that comes mainly from the top down rather than the bottom up. In my research as a neuroscientist – and as a new book – I’ve come to view the brain as a kind of prediction machine, always in the business of making predictions about what’s out there in the world (or in here, in the body) and using sensory signals to calibrate these predictions. What we experience, in this view, is not a read out of the sensory signals, it is the content of the predictions – the brain’s best guess of what’s going on. We live in a ‘controlled hallucination’, a creative construction that remains tied to reality by a dance of top-down brain-based prediction and bottom-up sensory construction that is never, and can never be, identical with that reality.

One striking consequence of this view is that, since we all have different brains, we will all live in different subjective worlds, even when we share the same objective reality. This means the blue sky I experience on a sunny day could be different to the blue sky you’d experience, even if you were standing right next to me. And it’s likely we’d never realise this, because differences like these are by their nature private, subjective and disguised by our use of a common language.

The propensity to have different experiences in a shared situation is bread-and-butter in our wider culture. No two people in the audience of a Shakespeare play, or gazing at a Rothko, will think or feel the same way. And nobody would expect them to. But, somehow, we do expect our perceptual experiences of a shared world to be the same – for a blue sky to look the same blue to all of us.

That this isn’t the case is captured by what I call ‘perceptual diversity’: just as we all differ on the outside, we all differ on the inside too.

Sometimes these perceptual differences do rise to the surface. A few years ago, a poorly exposed photograph of a dress caused a social media storm because half the world saw it as being white and gold, while the other half saw it as being blue and black (it was, in fact, blue and black). This example of psychology in the wild was fascinating not only for the individual differences in colour perception that it revealed, but for the doggedness with which people clung to their way of seeing things, denying that any other way could even be possible. To my mind this rejection of alternative ways-of-seeing is rooted in the very nature of perceptual experience, which is to make it seem like the world we experience is independent of our brains and minds, even when we know that it isn’t. As Cézanne once said, colour is where the brain and the universe meet.

Perceptual diversity has come to the fore in an exciting new art-science collaboration I’ve been involved with called Dreamachine, created and produced by Collective Act – the central offer of which is a collective, immersive experience based on a little-known invention by the beat generation artist Brion Gysin and on the research of the pioneering British neuroscientist William Grey Walter.

Dreamachine uses stroboscopic lighting and spatial sound to create vivid experiences of colours, shapes, movement – and frequently much more – in the minds of participants, all of whom have their eyes closed. Unlike our everyday experiences of the world around us, what arises in the mind in the Dreamachine seems to come from within, and everyone has a unique experience even though each person is exposed to exactly the same flickering white light. The drawings that people create after their journeys give an indication of this wild diversity, and they are beautiful too, even though no drawing can fully capture what the Dreamachine allows you to unfold.
Another part of the Dreamachine programme takes the idea of perceptual diversity much further, in the form of a large-scale citizen science experiment called The Perception Census. Developed by a team of scientists, philosophers and experience designers, it seeks to map out – for the first time – the unique ways in which we each experience the world around us. Not just in the Dreamachine, and not just when looking at an image of a dress – but everywhere, all the time.

The Census itself consists of a series of engaging, fun and quick-to-complete online experiments and interactive illusions. As well as contributing valuable data, participants will have the chance to learn about their own powers of perception and how they relate to others. Importantly, the Census goes beyond visual perception to explore many different aspects of how we experience the world, including our perception of sound and music, of the passing of time, and even of our emotions.

What will we learn from The Perception Census? To a large extent, this depends on how many people take part – tens of thousands have already engaged from over 100 countries. We’re hoping the scale of the data will bring to light many new things about human minds and brains and open up entirely new landscapes for further research.

Whatever the data reveals, the concept of perceptual diversity has sociocultural as well as scientific value. Differences are not deficits, whether they are externally apparent differences or internal differences in our perceptual worlds. And perceptual diversity applies to all of us, not just to the variety of conditions that are associated with the existing label of ‘neurodivergence’.

My hope is that a greater appreciation of perceptual diversity will help each of us cultivate a new humility towards our own experiences, recognising that the way we see things might not be the way they are – and might be different too from the way others see the same things. And that this humility can provide new platforms for empathy, understanding and communication between people who may have very different views, both in terms of what they believe and, more literally, in terms of what they see.

A deep dive into perception – whether through the Dreamachine, The Perception Census, or any other invitation into the magic of the mind and brain – can help all of us rediscover something of the wonder of being human. The wonder that arises when we no longer take our worlds, or ourselves, for granted. Science, after all, is part of culture, sharing with the arts a creative curiosity about the human condition, our relation to others and our place in the universe. The way I see things, it’s precisely at the ever-evolving interface between science, art and technology that this creative curiosity can and will best flourish.
From Mexico to the world

Iliana Rodríguez Cavazos, Director, Corporate Sustainability
Carlos Serrano Cerrillo, Coordinator of Cultural Heritage projects
Xcaret Group

An initiative born in the Riviera Maya almost 40 years ago has become an example of environmental sustainability, ecological and heritage tourism, and good business practices.
We have discovered that the prestige of our brand, achieved over a long period of time and through sustained efforts, is not only a guarantee of quality for tourism in the region but also a great responsibility – because the entire region waits for us to take the first step in the recovery process, and observes where we are headed before they follow us. But perhaps the factor that has contributed the most to this recognition is the way we ground our work in a sustainable operating model, based on three pillars: the wellbeing of people, business prosperity, and caring for the environment.

We have striven to preserve Mexico’s tangible and intangible heritage, driven by the great love we feel for our country. To achieve this, we have convened the regional artisans who established the cooperatives that, under a fair trade model, sell their craft products directly to visitors. We have also developed a set of best practices for research and documentation of Mexican cultural traditions, leading us to present performances to local and international tourists of some of the traditions that have been recognised as part of the intangible heritage of humanity: the Voladores of Papantla, the Mariachi of Jalisco, the Dance of the Elders, and traditions of pre-Hispanic origin such as the Mesoamerican Ballgame and the Mayan Fireball Game (a ritual with 3,000 years of documented history).

We seek to make every visitor fall in love with the flora and fauna of the Riviera Maya while acquiring a much greater awareness of the collective imperative to preserve our environment and cultural heritage.

Our story begins almost 40 years ago, during a trip made by the architect Miguel Quintana Pol to the Riviera Maya in 1985. He fell in love with the landscape and made the decision to buy five hectares with the intention of building a house of his own. At that time, he had no idea that the land he acquired would later be the starting point to create the one of the most emblematic parks on the planet.

Our founder, Iliana Rodríguez Cavazos began her career at Grupo Xcaret more than 29 years ago. Since 2019, she has been the group’s director of corporate sustainability, driving the sustainability strategy and seeking to demonstrate that companies can be successful while contributing to sustainable development. She began her career with the group in sales, and also worked in public relations and corporate social responsibility, where she defined the sustainable tourism strategy.

Our mission is to ‘make the planet happier and spread our great love for Mexico’. When our CEO, founder, and creator of all the parks and products is asked where his new ideas, creativity and innovation come from, his answer is simple and resounding: ‘From Mexico! Because we are a megadiverse country, with huge natural wealth, with incredible tangible and intangible cultural heritage, with an ethnic and cultural mix that is a rich source of customs, traditions, gastronomy, languages and more. All we do is show Mexico to the world. And we’re never going to stop!’

Iliana Rodríguez Cavazos began her career at Grupo Xcaret more than 29 years ago. Since 2019, she has been the group’s director of corporate sustainability, driving the sustainability strategy and seeking to demonstrate that companies can be successful while contributing to sustainable development. She began her career with the group in sales, and also worked in public relations and corporate social responsibility, where she defined the sustainable tourism strategy. Iliana is a member of the Media and Crisis Committee of the International Association of Amusement Parks and Attractions (IAAPA) and the Steering Committee of the United Nations Global Compact in Mexico. She is a promoter and member of the Sustainability Committee of IAAPA.

Carlos Serrano Cerrillo has worked for Grupo Xcaret for 22 years. He has served as a cultural advisor and cultural development coordinator, and is currently cultural heritage project coordinator. For 10 years, he has been responsible for the group’s relationship with the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO), and in 2012 he formulated the entry with which Xcaret won the UNWTO Ulysses Prize for innovation and knowledge in tourism. He has trained three generations of General Tourism Guides and is the author of the interpretive scripts that outline the group’s tours to Chichén Itzá, Cobá and Tulum. Carlos holds bachelor’s and master’s degrees, as well as a PhD in psychology.
A project that brings together music students and people experiencing challenges such as homelessness is producing beautiful music and transforming participants’ lives.

**The power of music to change lives**

Sigrún Sævarsdóttir-Griffiths
Founder and Artistic Director, MetamorPhonics
Creativity at work

‘The power of music’ is perhaps a cliché, but in my profession I see the impact music has on vulnerable individuals every day – and it is profound, in some cases, life-changing. In 1991 I set up my community interest company, MetamorPhonics CIC, with the core objective of using music to empower people wrestling with significant life challenges such as addiction, mental health issues and homelessness to become independent, active and valued members of society. I had worked in a wide array of social environments in my career spanning 20 years as a creative music workshop leader. I had taught and led a masters programme in higher education at the Guildhall School of Music and Drama since the late 1990s and at the Iceland University of the Arts since early 2000. However, the time had come for me to set up my own independent venture, building on the strong relationships I had forged with my existing employers and other collaborative partners.

Now in its third year of operation, MetamorPhonics runs bands, each with its own identity, in London, Leicester, Los Angeles and Iceland, set up in collaboration with higher education institutions, orchestras, occupation rehabilitation centres and/or homelessness charities. The bands are led by highly experienced professional music leaders and focus on collective and collaborative composition processes, resulting in high-quality music, created and owned collectively by all band members.

Our unique project model stems from the combination of music students and people with lived experience of the above challenges, and the way we support both groups in taking next steps towards independent, fulfilling futures. Each band’s membership is a 50/50 split of students in higher education and people in recovery. The bands are structured non-hierarchically, with members working together to write original music, regardless of their dramatically different life stories, ages and musical backgrounds.

The programme originated from a project I set up in my role at the Guildhall School of Music and Drama in 2012. The Messengers was originally established in collaboration with St Mungo’s Homeless Charity. The first project took place in a community centre in East London, where we worked with five music students and drummer and music producer Guy Wampa-Wood and hoped to be joined by members of the St Mungo’s Recovery College. Throughout that first morning, people arrived, in some cases needing encouragement with a cup of tea and a promise that we would not make them play anything. But when the drums kicked in there was no turning back.

Three days later we invited a small audience to attend an informal sharing, where the group performed 20 minutes of original music, completely co-created. The Messengers went from strength to strength.

Meeting three times a year, the group became prolific in its composition process, writing several new compositions in each rehearsal block. In 2016, the group was approached by Luaka Bop, David Byrne’s record label in New York, to collaborate with its artist, Doug Hream Blunt. The band and Doug worked together for three days and collectively wrote four pieces of music, which they performed on the main stage of the music festival Field Day. A year later, Doug returned to London to record the songs with The Messengers and perform at the Jazz Café in Camden and at Walthamstow Garden Party, an outdoor festival in East London, attended by tens of thousands of people.

At that stage, I knew this project needed to go further. This was something that could be recreated in different places – and so, MetamorPhonics was made. Our biggest operation is currently in Iceland, where we work with a network of occupation rehabilitation centres, Iceland University of Arts (IUA), Reykjavík Music City and the Iceland Symphony Orchestra. We run bands in three (soon to be six) occupation rehabilitation centres in different parts of the country, each band meeting twice a year. Participants are invited to apply to become members of Korda Samfónía – the collective big band, whose members are people in recovery, students from IUA and players from the Iceland Symphony Orchestra.

In 2021, its first year of operation, Korda Samfónía met for 10 days. On the project’s 10th day, the band performed 60 minutes of original music to a socially-distanced audience of 500 in Iceland’s most prestigious music venue, Harpa. The performance was nominated by the Icelandic Music Awards as Event of the Year in the pop, rock, rap and hip hop category. The performance was recorded and, in 2022, Korda Samfónía’s debut album Oskarinn (I See You) was released.

MetamorPhonics is now developing a traineeship scheme in Iceland, supported by the national network of occupation rehabilitation centres. We have three trainees on board, who support the delivery of all the projects, attend music leadership training courses and receive individual and group mentoring.

After their first year of involvement, we conducted individual interviews with the trainees to hear more about their experience. We were moved by their incredible stories and delighted to hear about the extent of the programme’s impact for their trainees.

The trainees agreed that they now see themselves in a different light. They feel more aware of their own strengths and talents and have surprised themselves with their own achievements. They have changed their ideas of what the future might hold and have taken practical steps to change their trajectories. They all speak of a dramatically improved state of mental health, more positive self-image, excitement for the future and new-found joy in life. They all have a passion for making music accessible to more people, having experienced just how dramatically it has transformed their own lives.

Music making goes far beyond the act of creating soundtracks for people to enjoy. I hope you will agree with me when I say: the power of music is real, and it changes lives.

Throughout that first morning, people arrived, in some cases needing encouragement with a cup of tea and a promise that we would not make them play anything.

Sigrún Savarsdóttir-Griffiths is a musician, educator and music leader working within higher education, artistic and community contexts across the UK, Europe, the US and Asia. For 12 years, Sigrún was course leader of the Master’s in Leadership Programme at the Guildhall School of Music and Drama, where she continues to lecture and lead artistic work. Sigrún is founder and artistic director of MetamorPhonics, an award-winning community interest company. Sigrún is passionate about enabling music making and access to the arts as an essential, unifying element of life, in every community.
For the past two years, a pioneering arts company has led a team of engineers, environmentalists and artists to shine a light onto our connections with the landscape and ask us all to become better guardians of nature.

Whose land is it anyway?

Liz Pugh
Co-Founder and Creative Producer, Walk the Plank (UK)
Green Space Dark Skies is one of 10 groundbreaking commissions for UNBOXED: Creativity in the UK. It aims to connect people to the planet by offering diverse community groups a chance to explore the landscape on their doorstep through a journey at dusk into a National Park or an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Each journey involves hundreds of people carrying special ‘geolights’ – geo-positioning lights powered through renewable energy. Events took place in 21 different locations held in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland between April and September 2022, and each gathering was captured on film and shared online and through broadcast.

As co-founder for arts organisation Walk the Plank, one of the UK’s pioneers in participatory outdoor arts, I have been involved in shaping many spectacular celebrations that put communities at their heart while reinforcing a sense of place or time. This one is different.

Green Space Dark Skies was commissioned during the pandemic, and is very much of this time. The project was designed under lockdown, and none of the team who undertook the R&D met in person until the pitch had been successful and the planning phase had begun. The focus is on bringing people into a closer relationship with nature in order to build better guardians of the planet. At least 25% of those taking part have never been welcomed into the countryside before.

This is profoundly different from how the company worked before: no live audience, no spectacular show to wow them. Instead, we offer a way to bring people into a connection with nature and with each other that provides a memorable, sensory experience – one that is intimate, beautiful, and shaped by the composers, poets and artists who are part of a creative team recruited in each location.

And there’s an emphasis on minimising our carbon footprint in every decision we make, from the provision of public transport for all participants to the absence of generators and the use of renewable energy at all the sites. In the project’s conception, we acknowledged the profound shift that had taken place as a result of the pandemic, when the privilege of travel was removed from us all and our only journeys were for essentials – for food, for work or for medical treatment. Research by National Parks UK revealed the socioeconomic and cultural barriers faced by many people in accessing the great outdoors, from a lack of public transport to a feeling of not being welcome because of how you are dressed or the colour of your skin.

In the nineteenth century, the Enclosures Acts in the UK removed lands held in common, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland between 1760 and 1912. When the 1923 Kinder Scout Mass Trespass, a citizen protest that gave rise to the right to roam movement in the UK and the subsequent establishment of National Parks as places where we can all have access to the land. Who owns land matters; as Guy Shrubsole wrote in Who Owns England, ‘Land is by far the greatest of monopolies, and how the landowners use their land has implications for how we build the affordable housing we need, the food that we grow and how we grow it, and how much space we leave for nature.’ Perhaps we should not have been surprised that one of the challenges the project faced was gaining permissions from landowners!

This project wouldn’t have been possible without the investment in culture that Nesta’s Arts Impact Fund made in the company five years ago, which enabled us to purchase an industrial space, Cobden Works. Alongside an Arts Council England capital grant, that investment gave the company a sustainable workshop space and HQ under one roof – a roof now adorned with solar panels so we can generate our own energy to recharge the geolights. It also gave us the security to weather the combined storms of Brexit and a global pandemic. This relative stability put us in a position to take advantage of the UNBOXED R&D callout for teams that brought together partners from science, technology, engineering, maths and the arts.

The cross-disciplinary nature of a project that involves young engineers from Siemens working alongside Walk the Plank’s creative technologists, artists and sustainability experts, in partnership with National Parks UK, the National Association of AONBs and the University of Salford, is both challenging and exciting. Challenging because the new technology to control the lighting was developed in a very tight timescale; exciting because we are succeeding in building ‘nature connectedness’ in thousands of people. People who had never sat foot in a National Park or AONB in their lives – but who have been made to feel welcome, who have discovered the health and wellbeing benefits of time spent in nature, and who are making plans to spend more time outdoors, with friends or family.

Professor Miles Richardson’s research identifies a correlation between a lack of ‘nature connectedness’ and a lack of biodiversity, placing the UK as bottom in a survey of 14 European countries in both metrics. He also identifies Senses, Emotion, Beauty, Meaning and Compassion as levers that might make change happen. Green Space Dark Skies offers people experiences that involve all of those levers.

Here’s what some of our participants said:

‘I had an amazing time. I went on my own, met several people and had fun with the lights in such a beautiful location. A great experience.’

‘Amusing. It was such a lovely thing to have been able to participate in.’

‘Mind blown at the #GreenSpaceDarkSkies event on Mynydd Parys last night…felt really privileged to be part of a mass gathering celebrating nature in natural landscapes. Proper magic.’

The legacy of Green Space Dark Skies will become clear over the next five years as the benefits of projects of this scale – requiring new ways of working and focused on interventions that bring new partners into sometimes uncomfortable proximity – are rarely visible immediately. But we can already see that the mycelium of artists, scientists and environmentalists interested in interdisciplinary work has been strengthened by the project as it has reached into corners of Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland and England. We look forward to working with National Parks and other guardians of land to build more meaningful dialogue between people and place, nationally and internationally.

We offer a way to bring people into a connection with nature and with each other that provides a memorable, sensory experience – one that is intimate, beautiful, and shaped by the composers, poets and artists who are part of a creative team recruited in each location. A great experience.’

‘A stunning, life-affirming experience.’

‘Quite an emotional experience – thank you.’

‘Amazing. It was such a lovely thing to have been able to participate in.’

Photo credit: Robert Melen

Raddlebakers and sand art as part of Green Space Dark Skies at the Gower, South Wales
Musicians gathered with lights on the Giant’s Causeway for Green Space Dark Skies in Northern Ireland
Photo credit: Brian Morrison

Liz Pugh, FRSA, is a creative producer and co-founder of Walk the Plank, leading teams working on festivals, parades and site-specific performances. As a director of large-scale outdoor performances with participation at their heart, she created the opening for Pafos: EU Capital of Culture 2017, and staged Wales’s largest outdoor bilingual performance for Wales Millennium Centre (2015). Liz is currently Creative Producer (Wales) for Green Space Dark Skies part of Unboxed 2022, and is one of 12 Creative Advisers to the European contemporary performing arts network, IETM. Liz has led Festival Management Training in West Africa, the Caribbean and Ukraine; co-designed two European training programmes: School of Participation (2019-21) and School of Spectacle (2017-19); and has worked for the British Council worldwide. She sits on the boards of Xtrax and Salford’s Culture and Place Partnership.
No venue is an island

Shain Shapiro, PhD
Founder and Chair, Sound Diplomacy

Why does the closure of one venue matter? If we thought of culture as an ecosystem, we wouldn’t have to ask.
Every day we wake up to more news warning us of further irreparable damage to our natural ecosystem. Whether it is our northern and southern poles being double-digit degrees warmer than is deemed normal, or more frequent incidences of extreme weather, the damage that climate change will cause to our natural ecosystems is understood, widespread and coming for us. Everything about us as a shared species will need to change, be it reducing our need to extract and then burn things from the ground, or ceasing to engage in needless conflicts that destroy habitats and livelihoods and exacerbate all our problems. But one thing that is not questioned – that is understood and accepted – is that we see this problem, and its effects, through the lens of the natural ecosystem. Each organism and element has a unique role and, when one is damaged or removed, it impacts the entire ecosystem. One insect becoming endangered has implications far beyond that singular insect. To support everyone, we must protect everything.

I believe the same way of thinking can be applied to culture and how we invest in it, support it – encompass the two, but in looking at culture through these lenses, we tend to see the final product as what matters most, whether it is produced for our collective benefit or to be sold and consumed. Whatever the process to make the art, it is just that, a process. It’s already happened and has produced the piece of art that matters. That’s what we consume. That’s what matters.

This process – the creation of art – is often ignored because it happens behind the scenes: in classrooms, rehearsal spaces and workshops. It is hidden away from view, in an industrial estate, a railway arch or a school, and rarely signposted. Or it exists in the ether, like the algorithm that supports our Spotify subscriptions when we cue up a playlist. And, because of this ignoring of the process in favour of the output, like our natural ecosystem, we take it for granted. When we’re at a concert or a museum, immersed in a particular piece of art, be it a song or a painting, we’re not thinking of the process that went into making that moment happen. Take the song, for example. That song – that four minutes of bliss – is a product of failing upwards over time. That failing requires a number of things to happen or to be made available in the first place

That song – those four minutes of bliss – is a product of failing upwards over time. That failing requires a number of things to happen or to be made available in the first place:

1. That song existed in the ether. It was just a solitary street performer playing covers in a public square. Because reducing access to culture for one person or group – say, restricting education for girls in Afghanistan – impacts everyone, everywhere. Regardless of whether it impacts our immediate lives, less knowledge and less fairness in one part of the world makes all of us poorer and our collective cultural ecosystem worse.

2. But we do not look at culture, or a particular art form such as music or theatre, as an ecosystem. Instead, we take two distinct and often conflicting approaches. The first is to look at art and culture as common goods, ones that should be made available to everyone as a human right, because of their intrinsic value. The second is to see culture – or a particular discipline within it – as a commercial industry, where culture is traded, with winners and losers. Yes, many outputs – an album, a play, a gig...
Connecting impact capital

If we knew at the outset of this project that culture and creativity could have profound impacts on individuals and communities, we also knew that so much more could be achieved with judicious use and sufficient infusion of the final C: capital. Over the 100 essays, we’ve seen what can happen when enlightened investors enable imaginative projects to scale, and when creative founders encounter purpose-built funding models and tailored impact support. And we’ve demonstrated that not only does the creative sector need impact investors, but impact investors need the creative sector: a vast and rapidly growing part of the economy that shares their impact goals, that is vital to the achievement of the SDGs, and that offers the market returns and scale they seek.

In this final collection, we hear about how funds in Latin America and the UK have bridged gaps in investor understanding and developed robust impact measurement capabilities. And we share a reflection on the success of Colombia’s orange bond programme and a call for a global market in culture impact bonds. We hope this work will encourage others to join us in daring to dream of a global cultural sector that does not exist in a permanent state of precarity, but instead is underpinned by the secure and stable financing it needs to deliver on its potential. The opportunities are enormous – for investors and for society as a whole.
The cultural and creative sectors are a key driver of global growth and an essential component of smart cities. Culture impact bonds could put them on a secure footing.

Cultural and creative industries, sustainable finance and smart cities: A look into the future

Adriano Picinati di Torcello
Global Art & Finance Coordinator, Deloitte network

The cultural and creative sectors are a key driver of global growth and an essential component of smart cities. Culture impact bonds could put them on a secure footing.
At Deloitte Luxembourg, for the last 15 years, we have been analysing the macro trends transforming the art and cultural sectors and the potential opportunities for the wealth management sector. We leverage our industry knowledge of the art and finance ecosystem into a range of services to assist wealth management, visual art and cultural stakeholders worldwide.

In 2011, we unveiled the inaugural Art & Finance report, exploring the business opportunities of incorporating art into wealth management service offerings. In 2019, with the sixth edition of the Art & Finance report, we started exploring the topic of impact investment and culture – with one of the articles, ‘Reimagine: Supporting the arts’, contributed by Fran Sanderson, Director of Arts Programmes and Investments at Nesta. One year later, during our 13th Art & Finance conference, we held two panels on culture and smart cities and on culture and sustainable impact investment, in which Laura Callanan, founding partner of Upstart Co-Lab, took part.

In October 2021, we published the seventh edition of our Art & Finance report. For the first time, we dedicated an entire chapter to culture, social impact investment and sustainability, featuring an article from Fundación Compromiso.

We support the view that culture, social impact investment and sustainability exist at the intersection between philanthropy and investment. This is a new domain for the art and wealth management industry, providing a new client service for wealth managers that focuses on social impact and purpose-led investment in the art and culture sector. We also believe that sustainable finance, the Cultural and Creative Sectors (CCS), and smart city strategies can support each other.

These bonds would successfully integrate culture and creativity within an inclusive and sustainable urban growth strategy, where the repayment of cultural and creativity investments would be subject to social – and not just financial – impact. The CCS also suffer from a lack of funding, driving a need to explore new alternative sources of revenue and partnerships and reconsider many traditional funding models. Despite this, the CCS are still slow to connect with impact investors, finding it challenging to provide evidence of their eligibility for this type of investment. If the CCS are to play their full role in society and sustainable development, the time is now to find better ways and models for connecting impact investors with the sectors’ financing needs. However, organisations in the CCS must improve how they analyse their performance and impact. This, in turn, will enhance their transparency to stakeholders, strengthen their relationships with society and potentially attract new supporters, investors and donors.

A city’s cultural and creative spaces and programmes contribute to its vibrancy and attractiveness – therefore, the CCS play an essential role in smart city strategies. There is an increasing realisation that urban smart cities must combine technology and culture, because improving residents’ quality of life requires connecting and inspiring people beyond technology. As H.E. Mohamed Al Mubarak, chair of the Department of Culture and Tourism, Abu Dhabi, declared in a 2021 article published in the Economist, ‘Culture and creativity will drive the cities of the future.’

With the growing recognition that the CCS can support cities to become ‘smarter’, we may see culture impact bonds become a reality in the future, helping develop a new generation of smart cities. These bonds would successfully integrate culture and creativity within an inclusive and sustainable urban growth strategy, where the repayment of cultural and creativity investments would be subject to social – and not just financial – impact.

Could we see a CCS impact bond market develop similarly to the green, social and sustainable bond market, which is estimated to have reached a market size of US$1 trillion, according to PIMCO? And could the World Bank and European Investment Bank lead the way, as they did with the initiation of the green bond market?

• Similar to green bonds, culture bonds could be fixed income instruments that encourage sustainability, designed explicitly for CCS projects that accelerate and sustain a dynamic creative economy that contributes to social progress.

• Culture bonds could include tax incentives, such as tax exemptions and credits, to enhance their attractiveness to investors. These tax advantages would provide a monetary incentive to tackle prominent social issues and help develop the creative industries.

• To qualify for culture bond status, a third party – a culture impact bond standards board – should verify that the bond would fund projects that benefited the CCS.

At Deloitte Luxembourg, we are committed to supporting the CCS and promoting an innovative dialogue that ensures the long-term sustainability of these sectors.
A strategy designed to boost the creative and cultural industries in Colombia has disbursed more than US$ 940 million to 130,000 orange entrepreneurs in the last three years.
The basis of the creative economy is knowledge and talent. In Colombia, the orange economy contributes 3.2% of GDP and generates almost 600,000 jobs, according to figures from the National Department of Statistics (DANE). However, with the advent of Covid-19, the creative sectors were among the hardest hit.

In the early 2000s, Bancóldex began a process of transformation. Previously Colombia’s bank of foreign trade, it became the country’s development bank for business growth, lending to companies across regions, sectors and sizes. Bancóldex has traditionally granted loans through an on-lending mechanism, and has been active in the search for other financing mechanisms – such as private equity funds, bond issuance and, most recently, direct credit – that respond to government priorities and the needs of entrepreneurs. These mechanisms have been and will continue to be used to provide further dynamism to the 103 economic activities (ISIC) in Colombia’s orange economy.

In August 2018, the bank set itself the goal of connecting, understanding and strengthening access to financing for the creative and cultural industries. To this end, it created a new business unit, hired new leadership for this unit and designed a comprehensive long-term strategy with four main pillars of action to boost the creative and cultural industries. As a development bank, it has pioneered and consolidated credit programmes for the business sector that have delivered significant impact for Colombian entrepreneurs. Bancóldex has traditionally granted loans through an on-lending mechanism, and has been active in the search for other financing mechanisms – such as private equity funds, bond issuance and, most recently, direct credit – that respond to government priorities and the needs of entrepreneurs. These mechanisms have been and will continue to be used to provide further dynamism to the 103 economic activities (ISIC) in Colombia’s orange economy.

In August 2018, the bank set itself the goal of connecting, understanding and strengthening access to financing for the creative and cultural industries. To this end, it created a new business unit, hired new leadership for this unit and designed a comprehensive long-term strategy with four main pillars of action to boost the creative and cultural industries. As a development bank, it has pioneered and consolidated credit programmes for the business sector that have delivered significant impact for Colombian entrepreneurs.

With the design and structure of its orange strategy, Bancóldex highlights the importance of the creative and cultural sector for Colombia’s growth and development.

The third and final round of reporting on the orange bonds highlights that, as of August 2021, 4,071 credit operations have been carried out, of which 98% are concentrated in micro and small businesses, across all 32 counties in Colombia. The orange bonds became a positive message of support, backing and credibility for an industry not traditionally served by the financial system. This innovative financing tool is an instrument that complements and aligns with public policy, led by a development bank that bets on, creates and believes in creativity and talent.

Creativity, Culture and Capital: Impact investing in the global creative economy

Connecting impact capital
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Creativity, Culture and Capital: Impact investing in the global creative economy
A UK impact investment fund is supporting cultural organisations to measure and articulate their impact, putting them in a stronger position to make their case to funders, governments and society as a whole.

Seva Phillips
Head of Arts & Culture Investments, Nesta

How impact investment can develop impact management capabilities in the cultural sector
The social impact of cultural and creative organisations is often much deeper than it might appear on the outside, to audience members and patrons. A world class concert hall runs free, interactive music therapy groups for those living with dementia and their companions. A performing arts company focused on African and Caribbean dance also plays host to community groups, including a youth group, a yoga class and a dominos club. A museum all about the history of migration offers a positive counter-narrative to stigmatising discourses, asylum seekers and migrants in the present. For all these organisations, the contribution to society goes beyond culture: it’s about tangibly improving the lives of people in their communities.

Recognising the impact of arts and culture

So much of this work does not get the recognition it deserves, for several reasons. Partly, it’s about being clear with the messaging; as commercial organisations, there’s an imperative to market the core offer that sells tickets and gets people through the doors. But it’s also about the capacity to credibly and persuasively tell the impact story. Many cultural organisations, which are effectively social enterprises, lack impact management capability; that is, the time, skills and resources to robustly monitor, evaluate and articulate the positive outcomes they create. With better data and compelling stories about their objective social impact, in addition to the more subjective artistic impact, cultural organisations would be in a stronger position to make their case to funders, governments and wider society.

For impact investors such as the UK’s Arts & Culture Finance, which backs cultural social enterprises, the focus is on social programmes that are designed to be, first and foremost, useful to the organisation. At the same time, the impact manager works with organisations to build skills and confidence where there are a handful of quality indicators. For example, how effective was the work in reaching the target service users and how fully engaged were they? Without this basic level of engagement, more meaningful outcomes would be unlikely. The impact manager was the first port of call for tracking M&E progress across the CIDF portfolio and acted as a sounding board for management teams in relation to their priorities.

Second, the impact manager worked with investees to set goals in relation to outputs (such as number of people supported, number of sessions delivered), outcomes (the difference made to, for example, wellbeing) and impact management (aspects of the M&E process itself). Each of these three areas was broken down into a handful of specific targets, which themselves would be evidenced by, ideally, more than one indicator. Having multiple, good-quality evidence indicators for a given target allows for a degree of triangulation, making any claims of progress more robust. While targets around outputs and outcomes would look for evidence that, respectively, the intervention was taken place and was taking place, those around the proposed level of engagement and had the intended effects, targets around impact management detailed actions the investee could take to make their M&E practice more robust – for example, streamlining systems for data collection, providing M&E training to staff, or close integration of impact data into organisational business planning.

Finally, the fund made a small subset of output, outcome and impact management targets with particularly robust indicators eligible for a potential reduction in the interest on the borrower’s loan, if achieved. If half the eligible targets were achieved in a given year, an interest rate discount of 0.2% would be applied to the rate going forward; if all of the eligible targets were achieved, the discount would be 0.45%. These reviews are undertaken annually, meaning that, over time, the cost of borrowing could be reduced significantly from a headline rate. CIDF’s intention was to incentivise the achievement of outcomes for the investee, whilst actualising the trade-off between financial and social returns for the fund.

A guiding principle in all this work is quality over quantity, depth rather than breadth. Instead of evaluating the impact of the organisation as a whole, CIDF’s target setting is focused on a smaller number of outputs and outcomes – ones that are core to the investee’s work and for which there are a handful of quality indicators. For impact targets that could reduce our financial return, this becomes even more critical, as we need to be confident any economic benefit to the borrower arises from verified impact success. This approach also has the practical benefit of focusing the investee’s (and our) attention on the most material areas: namely, those that are closer to our core service users, the two people who’ve held this position between 2018 and 2022 became trusted, critical friends to borrowers, being their go-to experts on all M&E matters. While all impact investment professionals are likely to have some expertise in M&E, a dedicated manager and subject-matter expert ensures that this work never falls by the wayside and serves as an authoritative source of support for investees.

All that said, there are limitations to our sample: the portfolio is small (10 investments), and the borrowers tend to be small too – in terms of staff size and average annual turnover (less than £500,000). They are also self-selecting: as impact investment is a relatively new form of funding in arts & culture, CIDF’s applicants have tended to be forward-thinking and have had (at least) an awareness that impact assessment and reporting will form part of the relationship. The next step would be to test this approach with a larger, more diverse sample, for example, those that are larger and more established. Can this approach be replicated, with the same level of enthusiasm? We need a bigger sample size to find out.

The approach works because it is proportionate and because of the nature of the investor-investee relationship. We believe the approach works because it meets organisations where they’re at and is specific and proportionate. It also refrains from being overly academic and is framed in a positive way, aiming to help organisations obtain better, more robust evidence that they can easily communicate. The M&E frameworks that are created as part of the process are centred around evaluation questions that are designed to be, first and foremost, useful to the organisation. At the same time, the impact manager works with organisations to build skills and confidence where necessary; it’s a supportive and collaborative relationship.
The nature of the investor-investee relationship also lends itself to longer-term collaboration, compared with grant-funded projects. First, because the loan repayment period is typically longer (say, five years) than a grant funding cycle, there is more time in which to influence organisational change. Second, the implicit risk of defaulting on the loan for the borrower should reporting requirements not be met perhaps lends itself to a greater degree of accountability – though we have not had to test this so far.

Borrowers are achieving their interest rate incentive qualifying targets – but we can’t be sure that incentives are the cause of achievement. It is encouraging that portfolio organisations are on average exceeding or meeting the majority (74%) of their interest rate incentive qualifying targets. This is, however, still an emerging picture, with half of the portfolio (five organisations) still to report on their first year of investment and only a handful of organisations (three organisations) reporting on more than one year of investment to date. It is also important to note that investees have so far been better at achieving incentive qualifying targets relating to the outputs and outcomes of their work, rather than to impact management. Based on initial, qualitative feedback from the portfolio, the quantum of incentive offered has not necessarily been a motivating factor for investees, but rather an added bonus in recognition of meeting their social impact targets. It’s possible that this is because the value of the financial incentive is not large enough to be motivating. However, another hypothesis is that, since the investees are social enterprises, their work and impact has intrinsic motivation, making any additional, financial incentive secondary. If this is true, then the quantum of discount offered may need to be significantly higher to be sufficiently motivating, compared with a scenario where no intrinsic motivation was at play. The fact that borrowers have been less able to meet their impact management targets only adds weight to this hypothesis, since the monitoring, evaluation and articulation of impact is distinct from its delivery and may therefore not carry the same intrinsic motivation. It is possible that economic incentives may be better targeted at areas where there is less intrinsic motivation. In any event, this approach would only benefit from more track record, as well as further investigation into the motivations underpinning different aspects of borrowers’ impact-related work.

Funders are uniquely positioned to drive forward impact management. Supporting organisations to improve their monitoring & evaluation capabilities is, unsurprisingly, a time- and labour-intensive process. But it is one that, in our experience, leads to a closer relationship with our investees and, ultimately, to better (more relevant and higher quality) data on what creative interventions work to make people’s lives better. For organisations, a firmer grasp on the data and the results is empowering, allowing for more informed decisions and clearer, more compelling communication with stakeholders such as funders. As this data flows upwards to funders and policymakers, they too can better articulate the impact of their capital and more strongly advocate for their sector in society at large. But in order to do so, funders must recognise the importance of upfront investment into monitoring & evaluation; as holders of capital, they can play a unique and leading role in fostering new, impact-first approaches, as with CIDF, as well as championing the value of evidence-based approaches to social change.

Seva Phillips is responsible for Nesta’s social impact investment work in the arts, culture and creative industries. Seva previously worked at The Young Foundation, where he supported early-stage social ventures tackling inequality in education and helped to develop policy recommendations promoting impact investment across the EU. Prior to this, Seva managed a portfolio of social investments at CAF Venturesome, a leading UK-wide social investor. Outside of work, Seva supports social enterprises in an advisory capacity. Seva began his career at professional services firm EY and has degrees specialising in political philosophy and economics. He holds the Investment Management Certificate and is a 2017 Winston Churchill Memorial Trust Fellow; his fellowship researched how investment vehicles combining public, philanthropic and private capital can be used to achieve social outcomes, drawing on examples from Australia, Canada and the USA.
A new government in Australia could be an opportunity to rethink the paradigms and funding models that underpin the creative economy.

Cathy Hunt
Cultural Strategist and Producer

A glimmer of hope
I have worked in the cultural sector as an administrator, producer and festival director for 40 years, in a career split between the UK and Australia, with some time in Asia. For most of that period, I have been a consultant, specialising in policy and organisational development, the designing of new cultural infrastructure, and the funding and financing of the sector. Like many others in the last two decades, I came to the realisation that the funding models in place were not fit for the purpose of allowing artists to do what they do best and arts organisations to sustain their vital work through resilient business models. I explored a wide range of approaches to investment, arguing not just for increases in support from both the public and private sectors, but for that support to be delivered and used in a variety of different ways. I developed the QuickstART microloan scheme for artists from private capital, and a state government Arts Innovation Fund blending government and philanthropic money in a combination of grant and debt financing.

Over the last decade in Australia, though, it became clear that there was no real desire from governments and other financial stakeholders – or, in many cases, from the sector itself – to try seriously to beat new paths towards a more resilient future, by using money differently. I put this down to many things. First, a federal government that really didn’t care (as demonstrated by the treatment of the Australia Council for the last decade, and of artists and cultural institutions during the pandemic). Second, other funding agencies whose primary aim was to demonstrate your worth to your funders through the economic impacts you generate (bed nights, tourism, local spend) and make them or their brand shine in media stats and promotional opportunities, while at the same time evaluating the real impact your work has had and why.

The inability to tackle some of the wicked problems of the day (ongoing gender injustice included) is often a cultural issue. The way we think about issues, the way we’ve always done things as a community, results in particular approaches to problem solving. If we want real social change, we have to start with cultural change – and what better tool for that than the creative outpourings of culture itself?

If we want real social change, we have to start with cultural change – and what better tool for that than the creative outpourings of culture itself? There was also the issue of scale: a problem affecting the development of impact investment opportunities. On the one side were governments expecting miracles for miniscule levels of investment in change – a ‘how much less can we put in’ mindset rather than a ‘what can we drive forward’ approach. One exception here seemed to be the UK, where organisations such as Nesta, as well as a range of trusts, recognised the need for grant funding to be used to drive new strategies and bring in new investment for change.

On the other side were potential investors interested in moving into models of impact investing – but only really in those companies that, even at this early stage, could grow exponentially, as traditional (and now often unsustainable) businesses have been modelled to do. What is wrong with investing in those that will never grow, but which will deliver social, cultural and economic returns for investors over a sustained period of time?

Recognising that my work, alongside that of colleagues in this space, needed significant external paradigm shifts to be successful, I took myself back to the drawing board. For the past seven years, I have produced and curated the WOW (Women of the World) Festivals in Australia. And I have played the game – the game being to demonstrate your worth to your funders through the economic impacts you generate (bed nights, tourism, local spend) and make them or their brand shine in media stats and promotional opportunities, while at the same time evaluating the real impact your work has had and why.

The inability to tackle some of the wicked problems of the day (ongoing gender injustice included) is often a cultural issue. The way we think about issues, the way we’ve always done things as a community, results in particular approaches to problem solving. If we want real social change, we have to start with cultural change – and what better tool for that than the creative outpourings of culture itself? At WOW events, these include real stories from voices that haven’t been heard, presented sometimes for the first time, often through different forms of creative expression, and brought together in a cultural feast of conversation and hilarity. By engaging with their culture and creativity, individuals find strength and inspiration to make change in their own lives. And through these stories and cultural experiences, we change the hearts and minds of those in leadership roles, motivating them to go forward and make community or institutional change for good.

Post-pandemic, and after years of declining public funding, I would even say we are left with a cultural sector so underfunded and undervalued that it will take years of traditional funding from government to build the capacity for change. But this is not the only way. Now, more than ever, it is time for a complete rethink. When you start with cultural change, perhaps these are the first steps we need in a new national cultural policy to build fit-for-purpose models for artists, arts organisations and cultural institutions, enabling them to build a more sustainable cultural economy for the future.

Cathy Hunt has spent her working life in the cultural economy across the UK, Australia and Hong Kong, as one of the founding directors of consultancy company Positive Solutions, and as a producer and festival director. Cathy was the founder of the QuickstART microloan fund for artists and has written widely on the funding and financing of the arts. She has served on numerous arts boards and is currently a board director of Screen Queensland. As executive director of non-profit company Of One Mind, Cathy has for the past seven years worked in partnership with the WOW Foundation in London to develop and produce WOW (Women of the World) Festivals in Australia.
The value of stories

Joyce Zylberberg & Tatiana Emden
Co-founders, Screen Capital

A private investment fund specialising in Latin American audiovisual content is a model of the value of investing in creativity and talent.
How difficult it is to describe the word ‘value’. This term has different meanings, some quite ambiguous — from ‘the value of something such as a quality, attitude, or method is its importance or usefulness’ to ‘price, cost, worth, merit, usefulness, advantage, profit, gain, importance, significance’.

At Screen Capital, a firm that manages private investment funds specialising in the entertainment industry, we set out to direct our value towards the development and expansion of the audiovisual and entertainment industry. We launched a model specialising in generating returns through an audiovisual content portfolio. Specifically, through our first fund, Screen One, we invest in series or films with a global perspective and reach.

It has not been easy to raise capital for a private equity fund for which the return expectations are based on audiovisual content. However, we are confident that the Latin American entertainment industry has an enormous opportunity to diversify its potential to reach mass audiences.

Launching our first roadshow to find investors was truly a valuable story. We visited family offices, investment managers, entrepreneurs and art patrons. The vast majority told us that the profit model for an intangible fund made no sense. In the creative industries, the asset base is often intangible and is represented by creativity and knowledge. How to ensure, for example, the collection of a loan against that? The traditional investors we visited were right: appropriately evaluating the individual risk of each audiovisual project is very difficult, and evaluating a portfolio would be even more complicated.

In addition, our entrepreneurs, the creatives, almost always have their intrinsic motivation more closely related to their artistic work than to any goal of financial profitability. For the financier, in contrast, profitability is the priority.

In the end, this story of seeking funding turned out well for us. We managed to set up our first fund with investors from within the entertainment industry, who understood the logic of the business. With that achieved, we went out to look for projects. Along the way, we were joined by Chile’s public bodies through Corfo (the Chilean Production Development Corporation), which complemented the private contribution with a public credit line aimed at promoting Chilean venture capital. Thus, we managed to raise our fund in March 2020, even as the pandemic took hold.

And this had many consequences, both good and bad. During the pandemic, many stories emerged because there was a lot of time to write, but production was also paralysed, and we all turned to consuming content in our homes. Consumption of the library available on streaming entertainment platforms such as Netflix, Hulu, Amazon, and Disney+, accelerated by the pandemic, led to a rapid increase in subscribers and users globally.

Every day, consumers need more and more content in their own languages, with faces they can recognise from their local screens, in locations that are familiar to them, and produced with outstanding artistry and quality.

But we as investors must be able to recognise emerging talents, select teams and their portfolios, and guide creative teams in their operational execution, legal structuring and negotiation processes without putting ourselves in the way of the creative process. We’re going to have to start building bridges.

Within the many definitions of value, there is one that we like a lot, which comes from philosophy: ‘Value (phil): The qualities that some things possess, for which they are estimable and are therefore considered to be goods.’ The value of investing in talent and creativity is undeniable. In our native Spanish, the word valor (value) also means courage: bravery, trying different things and taking risks. And where there are risks, there are gains.

Joyce Zylberberg is co-founder and managing partner of Screen Capital SA. She currently manages the Screen One Fund, aimed mainly at co-producing television series and films, and is raising new funds to finance creative economies. Previously, in 2011, as Director of the Film Commission Chile, a department of the Ministry of Cultures, Arts and Heritage, she supported more than 280 productions and led the first film incentive policy specialising in attracting film and TV projects of high international impact to Chile. Joyce qualified as a journalist at the Catholic University of Chile and holds a master's in Fine Arts in Film & TV Producing from Chapman University.
How can business innovation theory support arts organisations to be more creative, sustainable and impactful?

Cimeon Ellerton
Co-Founder, Social Convention

Business management theory and research on entrepreneurship can be powerful tools for arts and culture organisations. A UK creative studio and social enterprise believes arts organisations should employ more economists and management scientists.
What is the purpose of an arts and culture organisation? The obvious answer is to make art. However, many of us in the sector also care about the effect of the art and might consider the purpose to be: to positively impact people with art. Whatever your answer, I’m guessing it’s unlikely you thought it was to make money.

A profit-making business, meanwhile, has a clear purpose. Many new businesses, such as B-corporations, are adopting a stance of also doing good, but none of them would make doing good subservient to making money. Business management doctrine has been that it’s the duty of managers to maximise value for shareholders. Managers do not own shares in nonprofits, and asset locks mean (quite rightly) that profits cannot be distributed. So how can theories of maximising shareholder value apply to innovation and social impact in arts and culture?

No matter our purpose and our goals, we need to invest time and money up front in reaching them. Time and again, in my research for my MSc in business innovation and in Social Convention’s work with arts organisations on the ground, a lack of investment is cited as a major barrier to growth, impact and innovation. However, many people we have worked with and many of the subjects I interviewed for my research see profitability as a zero-sum game, where profit-making is inherently detrimental to impact. Budgets and plans target a tiny surplus, leaving little room for future investment in innovation and resulting in a negative feedback loop of underinvestment in new models, new technologies and new processes. Yet these are the very things that can help deliver more impact in the long run and/or can be reinvested in more art and culture. For example, innovating to improve accessibility was a key motivator among participants in my research, but financing it was the most commonly cited barrier.

If we are to unlock a wider range of capital and investment sources – including social impact finance – for cultural organisations, we need to think more seriously about business, management and profitability. In a for-profit business, the role of management is to design strategy and implement business plans that deliver profit. Innovation and management theory came together in the concept of sustainable competitive advantage. This is the set of resources, assets and capabilities (the resource base) that allows an organisation to deliver the plans, products and processes that deliver the profit.

Without competitive advantage, alternative offers – substitute products, services and experiences – will capture too many of the customers in a given market for you to survive (break even, let alone thrive (turn a re-investable profit). Sustainable competitive advantage comes from the ability to adapt to the changing world in which the organisation operates. This requires innovation, which in turn requires the adaptation of the resource base, which, as we’ve noted, costs time and money.

For arts and culture, competition used to be largely confined to the local marketplace. But digital offers are rapidly exposing the sector to competition in a global content and experience ecosystem. This new ecosystem of experience providers and content makers has a huge resource base and is investing constantly in its own competitive advantage. To compete, arts and culture organisations need to generate more surplus so that they can either re-invest directly in R&D and adapt their resource base to the changing operating context or secure third-party capital to invest in said innovation – or, in all likelihood, both.
A common response to the need to innovate in order to remain competitive is to work with external partners in a project-oriented manner. This makes sense in organisations where it’s the norm to employ significant numbers of freelancers for each new show or exhibition, and also in the context of capital scarcity. However, while it can be a very helpful early-stage approach to R&D, in the medium to long term it leaves important parts of the required resource base outside the organisation. New skills and capabilities are not properly captured and internalised, which results in higher long-term costs and/or new opportunities unrealised. Sometimes it’s helpful to hire what we need when we are unsure of how long we will need it or we aren’t confident in our ability to scope our requirements. However, in the long run, short-term hiring is usually more costly and the asset is owned by someone else – an asset that might be able to be leveraged not only for the project at hand but for other/future opportunities. An important skill for organisations is to know how and when to internalise new capabilities and resources. This takes us back to the need to increase our ability to generate surplus to cope with the capital intensity of innovation and to secure finance from third parties to fund it. Free cash flow is the engine of growth. Without it, we can’t invest in the long term, but instead are stuck in short-term cycles of cash flow management. At Social Convention, we’ve seen our own and our collaborators’ growth and impact opportunities accelerate once we’ve created a model that generates surplus cash and generates impact. This is a win-win mindset – but it’s not only a mindset. Rather, it is underpinned by rigorous theory and can be achieved through defined practical approaches. Risk can never be removed, and success can never be guaranteed, but thinking like an investor—or like the CEO of a publicly listed company—could help us all make more art and do more good.

Social Convention is an innovation agency and culture lab supporting the development of new arts and culture through access to space, skills and technology for creative entrepreneurship. We use our resources and our networks to help create sustainable new work through new business models and new audience relationships.
Cimeon Ellerton is co-founder of Social Convention, a culture lab and creative innovation consultancy in East London. He recently completed an MSc in Business Innovation with International Technology Management, where his dissertation investigated digital innovation in the performing arts. Trained as a composer and music technologist (Guildhall School of Music & Drama and Trinity Laban), he founded the London Breakbeat Orchestra, the UK’s first EDM orchestra, collaborating with Goldie and performing with international DJs Swedish House Mafia. As Chief Product Officer at The Audience Agency, Cimeon built Audience Finder, a groundbreaking marketing and business intelligence tool aggregating audience data from across the UK. His work in arts policy and cultural programme management includes delivering the Cultural Olympiad in the London Borough of Lewisham and many other arts and community festivals.
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CAMINNOS: Pathways that unite culture and innovation

Alejandro Trujillo
CEO & Co-Founder, CAMINNOS

A project creating NFTs from the work of artisans in the Gran Chaco region of South America seeks to bring native art to global audiences.
Jessica and her mother walk side by side, collecting Carandillo palm for their daily work. Silently, in the mountains of the Gran Chaco, they make use of the sun to dry the fibre, shred it and begin to weave each thread to make crafts: baskets and ornamental pieces; art; from native hands, with history, culture and generations of experience in the mountains locked inside it. As they walk back home, Jessica dares to ask aloud, ‘Mom, don’t they see us?’

At an altitude of 3,600 metres in the Andes, in the city of La Paz, Mirna, a calligrapher and digital illustrator with a unique talent for graphic art draws images of women wearing the typical skirts of their land, as a way of telling their stories, their cultural expressions and their desires. She dreams of one day telling her images of the ‘cholitas’ – women who represent the fortune of her beloved Bolivia – to mainstream international galleries. But, suddenly, a doubt arises in her mind: ‘Is there anyone who will want to display my art?’

Meanwhile, thousands of kilometres to the north, Susana rests after a day of work, sitting in front of the fireplace in the home that protects her from the winter. Her busy day of meetings with her colleagues from the Art Committee ended at the Seattle Convention Center, a 139,000m² facility in the Seattle metropolitan area that has tasked her with looking for artwork to decorate its luxurious spaces. She thinks, with some optimism, how much she would like to show art from her Bolivian homeland, reflecting her Latin identity in that impressive gallery. She pauses in doubt: ‘How could I bring artwork from my hometown here, given all the costs and logistical difficulties?’

At the end of the day, in the silence of their different realities – in the Chaco, in La Paz and in Seattle – the same question echoes in the thoughts of Jessica, Mirna and Susana: ‘Don’t they see us?’

In 2021, organisations committed to the development of the Gran Chaco, including the IDB LAB, Fundación Avina, WTT World-Transforming Technologies, Fundación Gran Chaco, Grupo SUNDU and NATIVA, launched an open call, #ChacoInnovae. The aim was to respond to the urgent situation of more than 2,000 artisans in the region, prevented from selling their works by the isolation of their communities and by rural-city migration – a situation aggravated by the global pandemic. CAMINNOS, a social innovation laboratory located in Bolivia, responded to the invitation, presenting its latest project, IMPACT NFT, which seeks to use disruptive technology to bring value and promote the native art of rural communities.

IMPACT NFT was selected to promote the development of the American Chaco through innovative commercial solutions. CAMINNOS set up a project in which craftsmen/women like Jessica can meet face to face with digital artists like Mirna and art curators like Susana, so that together, in a process of co-creation, they can take the essence of the work of Chaco artisans and transform it into digital art, registered and verified for authenticity through blockchain technology. The project will allow digital artists to reach crypto art galleries, in which, transformed into non-fungible tokens (NFTs), they can be marketed on a large scale in a global market without borders that transcended US$17 billion in 2021 alone. The NFT art pieces created were launched as Gran Chaco IMPACT NFT, the first collection of digital native art of Gran Chaco, allowing the region’s culture to spread internationally and form part of the important economic context of blockchain and cryptocurrencies.

Today, the IMPACT NFT project has a constellation of collaborators: six digital artists from Argentina, Colombia, Spain and Bolivia; a dozen art curators with notable track records in culture, art and finance; a network of NGOs to coordinate and connect the project; and the protagonists: over 5,000 native artists who create art and fight for the preservation of their culture. In April 2022, the project achieved a notable milestone. A recognised collector from Silicon Valley, owner of some of the most popular collectibles in the NFT market, such as the Bored Ape Yacht Club, NBA Top Shots, VeeFriends and other relevant projects, joined IMPACT NFT with the intention of acquiring the first NFT of the collection, and launching an alliance within CAMINNOS and the Blockchain organisation (DAO, or decentralised autonomous organisation) he founded, NFTY DREAMS, to replicate the project in other regions across the globe. Our immersive experience enables collectors to travel to the Chaco to meet the artisans, visit their community, produce art in their villages and generate social impact, all by acquiring an NFT.

The project seeks to position the native art of Gran Chaco in international art markets, through real-life experiences and virtual events that showcase the region’s culture and traditions. At the same time, there is a focus on training female native artists of the region in digital illustration techniques, decentralised finance, NFT art and blockchain technology, and on applying the communities with digital equipment to transform the native art pieces into digital art and to tokenise it, with the objective of addressing the limitations and barriers – reinforced by the pandemic – that make it difficult for native artists to market their creations.

IMPACT NFT is the only digital art experience in the world that allows creators, digital artists, collectors and curators to co-create native art together through the protection and promotion of the culture of rural communities. As a social entrepreneurship organisation, we create caminhos: paths that unite culture and innovation, so women know that they are not invisible but are seen as an example of strength and resilience, that their work is fundamental to the growth of communities, and that their art preserves the beauty of our culture.

Alejandro Trujillo is the CEO and co-founder of CAMINNOS. He is an Ashoka Fellow and has received numerous awards for his work in rural development, digital inclusion, and blockchain technology applied to ESG monitoring and cultural projects. These include the IPAD-JN Rural Youth Innovation Award. He holds an LLM from the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor and is licensed to practice law in Bolivia.
Afterword

Elizabeth Galbut & Pocket Sun
Co-Founders & Managing Partners,
SoGal Ventures
When faced with confusing, chaotic and increasingly polarised times, it is even more important to find strength through action. Conscious investment of capital is the most powerful tool to reach the future you desire – while simultaneously generating both outsized returns and deep impact.

Startups are our hope. The entrepreneurial journey almost always begins with a problem the entrepreneur personally experienced and now desperately wants to build a solution to solve. For many, the pain of inequalities and injustices is the fuel that powers them to build – for themselves, their families, their communities, and for the world they wish to create. Resources – most importantly, capital – give voice to those with much to say but without existing platforms to be heard.

For over three decades, women-led businesses have barely received more than 2% of venture capital financing. In 2022, women-founded teams are on track to receive a historically low proportion of venture financing: just 1.8%. At the same time, women are disproportionately represented in the creative industries: in the US, up to 35% of women-owned businesses are in the creative economy.

Where money flows, those voices grow. Recent events, if anything, are a sign that we each need to take more courageous and grand actions if we truly wish to have an equitable and inclusive future. History does not always move forward in a linear fashion. When aspects of society get worse before they get better, we must harness the pain to fuel us. We must deploy capital to traditionally undercapitalised founders. We must create space for all people to be included and valued. Investing consciously is the only logical path forward.

Impact investing isn’t new or unproven. In fact, investing with impact can be the competitive advantage that generates outsized returns. Since 2015, SoGal Ventures has been investing towards a better, more inclusive future by allocating capital to diverse founding teams on a mission to revolutionise how the next generations live, work and operate in. SoGal’s portfolio demonstrates an idea that resonates through the 100 essays in the Creativity, Culture & Capital collection: creative people solve problems.

Collectively, SoGal’s portfolio companies, all located outside of Silicon Valley, grew to be valued at over US$7.8 billion in five years, generated over US$1.2 billion of revenue in 2021 and created over 2,176 new jobs for our economy. With 4.4x since inception in 2017, SoGal’s first US$15 million fund demonstrated that even modest pools of capital, when deployed consciously, can generate outsized top-decile returns and deep impact.

The way we spend our money, the people we support and the investments we select influence how our collective future evolves.

If not you, who? Our individual and collective power is held in our wallets and decisions. The way we spend our money, the people we support and the investments we select influence how our collective future evolves. Ask yourself: is your capital aligned to your values? Are you investing in the future you wish to see? The time is now to be conscious and courageous.

Elizabeth Galbut is a second-time general partner with a stellar investment track record, whose expertise is in healthcare, strategy and entrepreneurial finance. In 2015, she co-founded A-Level Healthcare, a successful student-led venture capital firm powered by Johns Hopkins students. Previously, Elizabeth was a strategy & operations consultant at Deloitte Consulting, focusing on large healthcare clients. She is an honoree in the 2018 Forbes 30 Under 30 in Venture Capital list. Elizabeth holds an MBA/MA Design Leadership Dual degree from Johns Hopkins University and Maryland Institute college of Art. She is also a proud alumnus of Georgetown University and London School of Economics.

Pocket Sun is a visionary leader with global influence, who has extensive experience working with startups and corporations across the US and Asia. She holds a Master of Science degree in Entrepreneurship and Innovation from University of Southern California, and founded SoGal while at grad school. Pocket has been on the cover of Forbes Asia magazine as a 30 Under 30 in Venture Capital, selected as a LinkedIn Top 10 Voice in VC & Entrepreneurship, and featured by the BBC, CNBC, Fortune and Bloomberg, among others. She is regularly invited to speak at the most prestigious conferences around the world, including Microsoft CEO Summit, Fortune’s Most Powerful Women Summit and TEDx.
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